## Faculty Recruitment Process

Fall 2023

Commencing with the 2022-23 academic year, faculty and academic administrative searches are conducted using PeopleAdmin, our HRIS software. The protocol below, based on best practices and peer-reviewed literature, is designed to actively build exceptionally-qualified and diverse pool of candidates. All prospective candidates are required to apply for positions (including submission of all materials) through the PeopleAdmin portal.

## INITIAL STEPS

1. Each search should begin with a meeting of the Provost (and/or their designee), the Dean and the search committee. The goals of this meeting are to discuss the job advert, the affirmative steps the committee will take to recruit and evaluate a highly qualified and diverse applicant pool and the overall search process, including timeline.
2. Every member of a faculty search committee is expected to attend a search committee workshop to learn about the best practices for conducting successful searches and avoiding the negative effects of implicit bias, cognitive shortcuts, etc.
3. The search committee chair, in consultation with the Dean's Office, ensures that the following materials are submitted for approval to the Department Chair, then Dean, and then Provost.
a. The composition of the search committee
b. The full text of the position advert
c. A list of advertising venues, which can include journals, newspapers, websites, listservs, etc. to ensure broad distribution. It is the responsibility of the search committee chair and department chair to identify venues to advertise that are most likely to enhance the diversity of applicant pool. All positions will be posted on the applicant portal/career opportunities webpage as well as Higher Education Recruitment Consortium (HERC), IMDiversity, Inside Higher Education and HigherEd jobs.
d. A copy of two rating sheets/rubrics: one to assess the initial applicant pool and a second to evaluate interviewees (semi-finalist and finalist rounds). Criteria should be derived from the key elements of the job advert. Sample rating sheets are attached.
e. Description of the affirmative steps the search committee intends to take to build a diverse and highly qualified candidate pool.

## CANDIDATE SELECTION AND INTERVIEW STAGES

1. Selection of semi-finalists or finalists may only occur after the close/review by date announced in the position advert. Committees are expected to use the rating sheet/rubric to conduct an initial assessment of the applicant pool.
2. Search committees are encouraged to conduct two rounds of interviews, beginning with a preliminary interview with a semi-finalist pool by video conference, or in-person, and then moving to a smaller group of on-campus finalists. Committees are expected to use the rating sheet/rubric specifically to evaluate candidates being interviewed, based upon the qualifications listed in the job advert.
3. Once semi-finalists are identified, the list of semi-finalists along with a brief narrative summarizing the qualifications of each candidate selected aligned with the rubric developed will be reviewed by the Department Chair and Dean. The provost (and/or their designee) will also receive reports detailing the candidate pool demographics (provided by HR) and search committee comments. The list of semi-finalists must be approved by the Department Chair, then Dean and then Provost (and/or their designee) prior to commencing interviews. The search committee may be asked to reassess the pool based on the Department Chair's, Dean's, and Provost's feedback.
4. The list of finalists must be reviewed and approved by the Department Chair, then Dean and then Provost (and/or their designee) prior to the finalists being invited to campus. When submitting the finalist pool for review and approval include this information: (1) a brief narrative summarizing the qualifications of each finalist selected aligned with the rubric developed; (2) description of the affirmative steps taken by the committee to create a diverse and highly qualified applicant pool.

## FINAL STEPS

1. Once finalist interviews are complete, the search committee works in consultation with the department personnel committee (DPC) to rank the finalists, according to departmental standards. The committee chair then ensures that the department's recommendation is submitted for approval to the Department Chair, then Dean, and then Provost.
2. For each candidate interviewed, provide a brief justification about whether the person is qualified for the job. All candidate justifications should specifically refer to the qualifications stated in the job advert and considered in the interview process.
3. Draft the offer letter adhering to the approved offer letter templates available from the Provost's Office. To ensure timely searches, letters will include language that appointment is contingent on successful completion of a background check.

Note: As expediency is necessary for the successful completion of searches in a highly competitive market, we shall work to streamline these processes to ensure timeliness.

## HERC Search Committee Toolkit Prior to Candidate Interviews: Evaluation Template for Search Committee

This template offers a method for Search Committees to evaluate applicants during the initial review. It is designed to be modified by each committee for their own uses PRIOR to the start of a search and should reflect minimum requirements/selection criteria from the position posting. (Note: This template reflects an academic search. It can be modified for other types of positions by inserting the appropriate selection criteria in the rating form.)

Committee member name: $\qquad$ Applicant name: $\qquad$
Please indicate which of the following are true (check all that apply):

- Read applicant CV
- Read applicant statements (research, teaching, etc.)
- Read applicant letters of recommendation
- Read applicant's scholarship (indicate what): $\qquad$

Please rate the applicant on each of the following:


Recommend for continued consideration? $\qquad$ YES $\qquad$ NO $\qquad$ UNSURE

Please provide your reasons for your recommendation (use back of form if necessary):

From the Higher Education Resource Center.

## Example 1b. For Final Review of Candidates

| Criterion | Weight | 1 (minimum expectation) | 2 | 3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Teaching <br> experience | $10 \%$ | 4 (excellent) <br> philosophy and how it is <br> borne out in teaching record |  | Can discuss personal teaching <br> philosophy to include a variety of <br> experiences in teaching lower- <br> level undergraduate courses in <br> engineering |
| Course <br> development | $20 \%$ | Can speak to an example of <br> an improvement personally <br> made to an undergraduate <br> course |  | Can speak to significant course <br> development (such as created a <br> course from scratch or made <br> serious revisions to an existing <br> course) and how that experience <br> can be applied to courses here |
| Coordination <br> with faculty and <br> teaching <br> assistants | $30 \%$ | Can discuss any experience in <br> team teaching and/or <br> directing teaching assistants |  | Can speak to a significant <br> amount of course coordination <br> either in terms of years of <br> experience or number of faculty <br> and assistants involved; can <br> discuss how challenges in team <br> teaching are addressed |
| Familiarity with <br> evidence-based <br> teaching <br> methods | $20 \%$ | Can speak <br> contemporaneously to the <br> implementation of active <br> learning, PBL, TBL, or other <br> pedagogical innovation in <br> STEM |  |  |
| Evidence of <br> commitment to <br> diversity and <br> inclusion | $20 \%$ | Can speak <br> contemporaneously to the <br> issues of diversity and <br> inclusion in undergraduate <br> STEM education | Can thoroughly discuss examples <br> of implementation of active <br> learning, TBL, PBL, or other <br> pedagogical innovation in <br> engineering |  |

From the Center for Teaching Assessment and Learning, University of Delaware

All forms required to begin the search process can be found on the Provost Office Forms page:
https://www.hofstra.edu/provost/forms-documents.html\#forms
» Recruitment

