Index Construction Issues for Exchange-Traded Funds

Hofstra University
Frank G. Zarb School of Business

Craig J. Lazzara, CFA

May 5, 2003
Outline

- Indexing in Theory
- Indexing in Practice
- Future ETF Development
Indexing in Theory
Indexing and ETFs

- All current ETFs are index funds
- Creating active ETFs requires resolution of conflict between
  - Full disclosure of ETF holdings
  - Active managers’ desire for secrecy
- ETF performance differences largely index-driven
“The Arithmetic of Active Management” -1

- Assumes that all managers own all stocks
- The weighted sum of all portfolios = the market portfolio
- The weighted sum of all active portfolios = the market portfolio
- The average active manager cannot outperform the market portfolio
- Creates a presumption in favor of passive asset class exposure
Investment Approaches

- Passive
- Active
  - Allocation
  - Selection
- ETFs are useful as
  - Components for allocation strategies
  - Diversifiers for selection strategies
Core-Satellite Portfolio Allocation

- Combines passive core with active satellites in integrated program
- Active bets placed where they seem likely to have the most positive impact
  - Can be allocation or selection bets
  - Can combine index ETFs with active funds
- Passive core reduces management and transaction costs
“The Arithmetic of Active Management” - 2

- The market portfolio contains
  - Everything active managers can buy
  - Nothing they can’t buy
- Otherwise said, the market portfolio is both comprehensive and float-weighted
- Many popular benchmark indices are neither comprehensive nor float-weighted
Understanding Benchmark Indices

- Comprehensive or sampled?
- Rules-based or discretionary?
- How weighted?
- Consistent over time?
- Sensible subdivisions?
Distinguishing Style Indices

- Are growth and value categories or quantities?
- What determines or measures them?
- How many companies are classified?
- Are companies divisible?
- Are growth and value indices
  - Mutually exclusive?
  - Collectively exhaustive?
Indexing in Practice
From the Market Portfolio to Benchmark Indices

- Benchmark indices provide cheap diversification
- Replacing active managers can produce
  - Lower fees
  - Lower transactions costs
Benchmark Indices as Index Fund Templates

- Benchmark indices were available and license fees were low
- No stock stories to affect portfolio choices
  - Index maintenance publications provided necessary “research”
- Index funds were very small
  - Costs of changing fund portfolios when the indices changed were small
  - Early index funds focused on large-cap stocks which typically have lower relative transaction costs
Index Fund Transaction Costs

- Transaction cost components
  - Commissions - the smallest element
  - Market impact - highly variable, increasingly important in index changes and reconstitutions
  - Timing delays
  - Missed trades

- Transaction costs for index changes
  - S&P 500
  - Russell 2000
Example of Benchmark Index Additions and Deletions

SNP500 Additions and Deletions
Price Return level in USS for United States
8JUL2 to 19 JUL2

Source: Salomon Smith Barney, 2002
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Future Index Transaction Costs

- Growth of indexing using the same benchmark indices for more assets suggests increased market impact from index changes
  - Cost of more shares traded
  - Impact of more distinct traders

- Can mitigate by using very broad indices – Wilshire 5000, Russell 3000
  - Do not meet all clients’ needs

- The more concentrated the index, the greater the impact of an index change
Future ETF Development
Benchmark vs. Fund-Friendly Indices

- Different indices serve different purposes
- Most benchmark indices were designed to measure active manager performance
  - Requires pre-announcement of index changes
- An index designed to serve as a fund template would operate differently
  - Benchmark index funds are the only funds whose portfolio targets are preannounced
  - “Silent” index concept
Silent Indices as Fund Templates

- Controlling principle: No one other than the fund manager needs to know in advance what an index change will be and when it will occur.
- Index membership rules and rebalancing schedules must be:
  - Specific enough that buyers will understand what they’re buying, but
  - Ambiguous enough that opportunistic traders can’t front-run the fund.

Note: The Securities and Exchange Commission has not approved a silent index as a fund template.
“Knowledge of future trading is THE most valuable commodity on Wall Street.”

Wayne Wagner
Chairman
Plexus Group
Additional “Fund-Friendly” Index Construction Rules

- RIC compliance at the index level
- Float weighting
- Rebalancing and replacement rules that minimize turnover costs
  - Buffer ranges
  - Gradual entries and exits
Gaining Acceptance of Silent Index Funds

- Cost of revealing trading plans of benchmark index funds is obvious even if it is hard to measure precisely
  - Expected outperformance of silent index funds on average is an easy case to make on a cost basis
- Mutual fund reporters willing to spend a little time will find a good public interest cause
- Forward-looking institutions will probably be first to embrace silent indices
Silent Indices May Stimulate Institutional Use of ETFs

- Benchmark ETFs are usually a bad buy for a major institution
  - ETF benchmark index fund managers are encouraged to trade only at market close on day of index changes
  - Institutions can obtain more flexibility at lower cost
- A silent index ETF will be the only way to get exposure to a specific silent index
- Costs of an institutional ETF position could be comparable to or lower than setting up a custom silent index portfolio
Obstacles to Use of Silent Indices

- SEC policy on separating ETF manager and index provider
- Index branding vs. fund branding
- Inertia and timidity
- Opposition by the biggest index fund managers
  - Vested interest in preserving their installed base
  - Can beat standard benchmarks more easily than they could improve upon a silent index return
Does a Silent Index Fund Have to be an ETF?

- Improved index products need to pool investors with different asset levels who are used to different levels of cost and service.
- ETF form is a natural way to accomplish this pooling.
  - Tax and trading advantages relative to conventional fund structure.
- Multi-share class ETF could accommodate different investor profiles.
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There are risks involved with investing in ETFs including possible loss of
money. Past performance is no guarantee of future results.

For more information or to request permission to use all or part of this
presentation, contact ETF Advisors at 153 East 53 Street, 49th Floor, New
York, NY 10022; (212) 303-4341; clazzara@etfadvisors.com.
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