Senate Minutes April 18th, 2013

I. Meeting called to order at 6:50 pm

II. Quorum Call
   b. Excused: Jesse Lender

III. Approval of Senate Minutes
   a. Motion to pass
      i. Second
   b. Minutes passed

IV. Approval of Cabinet Minutes
   a. Motion to pass
      i. Second
   b. Minutes passed

V. Executive Board Reports
   a. Vice President Andrea Standrowicz
      i. Committee assignments are there!
      ii. Tomorrow is community service day, so if you haven’t signed up yet, it is right on the homepage of the SGA website! It should be awesome!
      iii. This Sunday, the Spirit Committee is having Hofstra Palooza!!!
   b. President Ron Singh
      i. This weekend we have a lot going on and we would love the support of everyone in Senate!
      ii. Campaign and advertise for yourselves!
   c. Comptroller Yeri Lee
      i. Budget Weekend is this weekend!
      ii. Committee Chairs also have to submit their proposals by tonight
   d. Secretary Alyssa Legnetti
      i. No report

VI. Committee Reports
   a. Appropriations
      i. Saw 9 clubs on Tuesday
      ii. Total requested was $27,410.45
      iii. Total allocated was $17,421.57
      iv. Motion to pass
         1. Second
      v. Minutes passed

VII. Special Business
   a. Programming Board Legislation
      i. Legislation was brought to Rules and approved, however we made some minor clerical changes that have helped to improve the clarity of the legislation.
      ii. Currently, we have the ability for Programmers to become Senators and vice versa. This legislation will change that so that Programmers cannot become Senators or vice versa.
      iii. Programming and Senate are two separate bodies under SGA.
iv. As of now, our Senate cap is 42 people, and our Programming cap is 26 people. If one programmer is sitting on senate and programming, it takes away the opportunity for another undergraduate student to serve on either Senate or Programming. This is not to take away the opportunity of a Senator or Programmer to do more, but it is giving other undergraduate students the ability to participate.

v. A person that applies to become a programmer, in the case that they decide to also become a part of SGA, they can become an Associate so that they can serve on their Programming committee as well as on a Senate committee. These people will have to do two office hours per week.

vi. Programming Chair will be appointed by the Programming Board and will serve on both the Programming Committee and Senate and will have voting rights in both.

vii. Senators are not able to become members of the Programming board, and Programmers are not able to become Senators.

viii. Questions

1. Spirit Chair Melanie Perry asks if Programming Chair Chelsea Gillyard is allowed to make friendly amendments to her own legislation.
   a. Yes, she is allowed, as long as everyone agrees with the amendments that she has made.

2. Public Relations Chair Billy Finnegan asks why Programmers are allowed to serve on committee as an Associate. Why can’t they be both a Programmer and an Associate?
   a. This gives Programmers who are interested in Senate the ability to participate in a committee and let them see both sides. You can’t become a Programmer just like that, you have to go through an application process, unless they drop below their minimum number (14 members).

3. Senator Sohum Patwa asks if this has been a problem in the past.
   a. We have only ever had Senator Weinberg function as both a Programmer and a Senator. This has nothing to do with people’s work ethic or their ability to function as two things. This is about giving other undergraduate students the ability to join either Senate or Programming if they want.

4. IFSC Representative Cait Stolzenberg asks if you still have to apply to become a Programmer? Doesn’t this kind of make things exclusive?
   a. Yes, they still have to apply to become a programmer. Programming Chair Chelsea Gillyard doesn’t think that this would make anything exclusive.

ix. Motion to go into a five minute moderated caucus with 30 second speaking time.

1. Second
2. Objection
3. Debate on going to a moderated caucus
   a. Speakers For and Against
      i. Senator Sohum Patwa thinks that it seems that we are already entering debate with some of these questions,
so it would make sense to go into a moderated caucus to discuss things.

ii. Spirit Chair Melanie Perry just had a motion to make an amendment, and she thinks that should be done before we go into debate.

iii. Appropriations Chair Megan Andrews agrees with everything Sohum said, and she thinks we should debate before making amendments.

b. Vote on entering moderated caucus
   i. For: 17
   ii. Against: 0
   iii. Abstentions: 0

x. Moderated Caucus
   1. Public Relations Chair Billy Finnegan thinks that if we Programmers and Associates need to be considered as separate as possible.
   2. Senator Sohum Patwa thinks that if it hasn’t been an issue to have too many people joining both, then he doesn’t see why we need to change to Constitution.
   3. Rules Chair Alyssa O’Brien says that the reason we are introducing the legislation is to prevent future problems. Allowing them to become associates and serve on the Programming board will increase participation.
   4. Senator Talyn Cortez sees how this will strengthen both sides. However, she doesn’t think we should be choosing for other people how they should prioritize their time.
   5. Programming Chair Chelsea Gillyard says that there were five or six programmers that also wanted to be senators. That is why we decided to write the legislation to change the constitution. She doesn’t see this as us choosing for people.
   6. Public Relations Chair Billy Finnegan points out that Senators and Programming Board have a limited number of spaces, so by allowing someone to have a spot on both, they are taking up an available spot for another undergraduate student.
   7. Spirit Chair Melanie Perry agrees with Rules Chair Alyssa O’Brien that they shouldn’t be required to do both.
   8. Programmer Alex Barkley says that allowing one student to hold both positions is in some way inhibiting student leadership to grow because we are preventing other students from taking spaces.
   9. Programming Chair Chelsea Gillyard says that if an associate were to join Programming, they would have to attend three committee meetings and do three office hours.

xi. Motion to go into amendments
   1. Second

xii. Amendments
   1. Senator Tevon Hyman motions to strike 201.1 (A).
      a. Seen as friendly by Programming Chair Chelsea Gillyard.
   2. Public Relations Chair Billy Finnegan motions to move 201.1 (A) to become 209.3 Membership (H).
a. Motion to skip debate  
   i. Second
b. Vote on amendments  
   i. For: 16  
   ii. Against: 1  
   iii. Abstentions: 1
c. Amendment passed

3. Spirit Chair Melanie Perry motions to amend 209.3 (A) because it says “outlines” and she would like for it to say “outlined”.  
   a. Second
   b. Seen as friendly by Programming Chair Chelsea Gillyard

4. Spirit Chair Melanie Perry motions to amend section 201.3 (D) to say “the chair shall be elected by the Programming Board and shall be appointed to Senate and Cabinet”.  
   a. Second  
   b. Objection  
   c. Debate  
   i. Speakers  
   1. Spirit Chair Melanie Perry thinks that it is important to include this wording in the appointment of officers section so that it is complete and clear.  
   2. Programming Chair Chelsea Gillyard says that saying Programming Board Chair implies that they are also in Cabinet.  
   3. Public Relations Chair Billy Finnegan says that the Ethics and Conduct Chair and the Social Chair do not serve on Cabinet even though they have the word “chair” so we should clarify.

d. Vote on amendment  
   i. For: 17  
   ii. Against: 0  
   iii. Abstentions: 1
e. Amendment passes

5. Senator Tevon Hyman motions to amend 209.3 Membership (G) to add “Programming Board must take the oath of office as stated in section 201.4…”  
   a. Seen as friendly by Programming Chair Chelsea Gillyard

6. Public Relations Chair Billy Finnegan motion to strike 202.2 (A) (i) and amend 202.2 (A) to say “associates are required to attend two (2) meetings of standing committees or boards per week that Senate is in session”.  
   a. Objection

7. Public Relations Chair Billy Finnegan motions to strike 202.2(A)(i) and amend 202.2 (A) to say “associates are required to attend two (2) meetings of standing committees or boards per week that Senate is in session. Subsection (i) will be stricken and replaced with “associates will be assigned their committee by the Vice President. Subsection (ii) will
be stricken and replaced with “associates are eligible to include the Programming Board as one of their required two committees”.

a. Debate
   i. Speakers
      1. Public Relations Chair Billy Finnegan says that while this was proposed for grammatical accuracy, by doing this we are keeping the wording the same and we are specifying the duties of associates so that it is not open to interpretation.
      2. IFSC Representative Cait Stolzenberg agrees with all of this, but if (i) is saying that the Vice President is assigning, then (ii) should also say that.

b. Public Relations Chair Billy Finnegan amends his amendment to: 202.2 (A) “Associates are required to attend two (2) meetings of standing committees or boards per week that Senate is in session.” Strike (i) and replace with “Associates will be assigned their committees by the Vice President. Strike (ii) and replace with “Associates will be eligible to be assigned to the programming board as one of their required two committees by the vice president, contingent upon their acceptance to the Programming Board.

c. Vote
   i. For: 17
   ii. Against: 1
   iii. Abstentions: 0

d. Amendment passes

8. Comptroller Yeri Lee motions to amend VIII: Programming Board (B) Responsibilities subsection (2) to read “each semester, the programming board shall apply for a budget at Budget Weekend”.
   a. Comptroller Yeri Lee clarifies that the Programming Board will be eligible for a budget to be approved by the Executive Board of SGA, excluding the Secretary (President, Vice President, Comptroller).
   b. Vice President Andrea Standrowicz says she will not see this amendment.
   c. Appropriations Chair Megan Andrews motions to force Vice President Andrea Standrowicz to see this motion.
      i. Objection
         1. Appropriations Chair Megan Andrews says that the Appropriations Committee reviews club’s budgets and follows the policy series. However, how SGA works, we are not following our policy series, so it is not appropriate for the Appropriations Committee to see these budget proposals.
2. Public Relations Chair Billy Finnegan says that we have to consider that our language in the Constitution and policy series has to be consistent across the board. If we make this change, it has to be so that all committees make this change so that it is consistent across the board. This needs to be done at once for all committees and not one at a time.

3. Comptroller Yeri Lee is looking at the policy series now to see if it is listed anywhere.

4. Comptroller motions to have a three minute recess for the purpose of checking the constitution and policy series
   a. Second
   b. Vote
      i. For: 17
      ii. Against: 0
      iii. Abstentions: 0

5. Rules Chair Alyssa O’Brien says that the amount of money that the Programming Board spends is large enough that the check on that should be larger than the three people who would review it.

6. Appropriations Chair Megan Andrews motions to enter a two minute moderated caucus with 1 minute speaking times
   a. Second
   b. Vote
      i. For: 13
      ii. Against: 2
      iii. Abstentions: 1

7. Moderated Caucus
   a. Comptroller Yeri Lee still thinks that we should strike “appropriations committee” because the budget that the appropriations committee has is for student clubs only. Also, she doesn’t agree that the appropriations committee should see the Programming Board as a club.
   
   b. Appropriations Chair Megan Andrews doesn’t see what the difference between having three e-board members see the budget as opposed to four appropriations members. This is something that needs to be changed now rather than prolong it.
c. Public Relations Chair Billy Finnegan points out that this is a direct statement that the Programming Board is funded by the appropriations committee.

8. Spirit Chair Melanie Perry motions to go into a two minute and fifteen second moderated caucus with 45 second speaking times.
   a. Second
   b. Vote:
      i. For: 16
      ii. Against: 0
      iii. Abstentions: 0

9. Moderated Caucus
   a. Rules Chair Alyssa O’Brien says that we need to look long term at this and as much as we trust the three people in the e-board now, we need to make sure that we always will. We also need to take into account that Senate will not approve this money.
   b. Senator Tevon Hyman
   c. Comptroller Yeri Lee says that in the Cabinet Minutes, it says that in the Cabinet Minutes under “Internal Funding” it explains what Megan and Yeri are proposing.
   d. Senator Tevon Hyman says that this only means that this will apply for this semester.

10. All those in favor of forcing Vice President Andrea Standrowicz to see the motion
    a. For: 9
    b. Against: 6
    c. Abstentions: 1

11. Vice President Standrowicz sees amendment to remove “from the appropriations committee” from the above mentioned section.
    a. Seen as friendly by Programming Chair Chelsea Gillyard.

9. Motion to pass Programming Legislation
   a. Second
   b. Vote
      i. Roll Call Vote
         1. Yeri Lee-Yes
         2. Arthur Currier-Yes
         3. Billy Finnegan-Yes
         4. Cait Stolzenberg-Yes
         5. Cameron Freitag-No
6. Chelsea Gillyard - Yes
7. David Caputo - Yes
8. Gary Duff - No
9. Megan Andrews - Yes
10. Melanie Perry - Yes
11. Nadir Khan - Yes
12. Ortal Weinberg - Yes
13. Talyn Cortez - Yes
14. Yuki Yamashita - Yes
15. Tevon Hyman - No
16. Sohum Patwa - No

ii. By a vote of 12 to 4 this legislation passes.

VIII. Committee Chair Reports

a. Club Relations Chair Cameron Freitag
   i. Updated points for the Club Tournament
   ii. Never got around to the office checks.
   iii. Motion to pass
       1. Second
   iv. Minutes passed

b. Programming Chair Chelsea Gillyard
   i. Spoke about the feedback for the tye-dye event. We have a ton of shirts left over. We are going to use some of them for Hofstra Palooza or we are going to sell them at games again!
   ii. Did an activity with a board called Blind or Bright
       1. Bright things are things that people on the board do that people know about
       2. Blind are things that people on the board do that people don’t know about.
   iii. The Art of Making Magazines Event will be postponed for next semester.
   iv. Class Apparel sale is going on--$10 for tank tops! Order forms are in the office and are due on April 22nd.
   v. President Ron Singh and Vice President Andrea Standrowicz facilitated the Programming Gala vote.
   vi. Motion to pass
       1. Second
   vii. Minutes passed

c. Public Relations Chair Billy Finnegan
   i. Didn’t really have a meeting this week because they had a press conference during the meeting time.
   ii. Senator Ortal Weinberg sent Have You Heard Slides this morning.
   iii. Motion to pass
       1. Second
   iv. Minutes passed

d. Rules Chair Alyssa O’Brien
   i. Saw one piece of legislation from Jesse Lender that passed.
   ii. Also saw one referendum that passed
   iii. Five referendum questions that will go on the ballot after they are passed.
1. “Should Hofstra University disclose the investment portfolios for the Hofstra general fund and endowment?”
   a. motion to strike this question.
      i. Second
   b. Speakers
      i. Appropriations Chair Megan Andrews doesn’t think that this is an appropriate question because these questions should be leading into dialog that SGA can do something about. This is not something the university would be open to.
      ii. Senator Tevon Hyman says that this is more of a dialog to set the stage. We never know what the University might do. If it fails, it fails.
      iii. Public Relations Chair Billy Finnegan is very opposed to keeping this question. These are decisions that are made at a Board of Trustees level and this would not look favorably upon SGA.
   c. Vote on striking question 1
      i. For: 12
      ii. Against: 2
      iii. Abstentions: 1
   d. Question 1 is stricken.
2. “Should Hofstra publicize its contract(s) with Lackmann Culinary Services?”
   a. Motion to strike this question
      i. Second
   b. Speakers
      i. Senator Talyn Cortez says that we don’t know if this is public already, so if it is, we will look ridiculous publicizing it.
      ii. Senator Tevon Hyman says that if it is not publicized, then it is good if the student body wants to see the Lackmann contract?
      iii. IFSC Representative Cait Stolzenberg says that there are other questions that would benefit the student body instead of this.
   c. Vote on striking question 2
      i. For: 11
      ii. Against: 2
      iii. Abstentions: 2
   d. Question 2 is stricken.
3. Should Hofstra University disclose how the Student activities fee is distributed among different departments of the university?”
   a. Motion to strike this question
      i. Second
   b. Speakers
i. Senator David Caputo says that the information is already out there for the public to see and it will look silly on our part.

ii. Spirit Chair Melanie Perry says that unless our goal on this question is to undisclose this information, it serves no purpose.

c. Vote
   i. For: 13
   ii. Against: 1
   iii. Abstentions: 0

d. Question 3 is stricken.

4. Motion to add a question “Do you think there are enough vegetarian/vegan options offered on campus?”
   a. Second
   b. Speakers
      i. Appropriations Chair Megan Andrews thinks that this is important because we need to have referendum questions and it is important to pull from a large portion of students. This question has been posted on Suggestions@Hofstra before and it will help up improve campus.
      ii. IFSC Representative Cait Stolzenberg thinks we should also add “gluten free” to the question.
      iii. Spirit Chair Melanie Perry agrees that gluten free should be incorporated but thinks that someone could think there are enough vegetarian options and not enough gluten free options. Therefore they should be two separate questions.

c. Vote
   i. For: 15
   ii. Against: 0
   iii. Abstentions: 0

5. Motion to add a question “Do you think there are enough gluten free dining options on campus?”
   a. Second
   b. Motion to skip debate and go straight to a vote
      i. Second
      ii. Motion passes

c. Vote
   i. For: 15
   ii. Against: 0
   iii. Abstentions: 0

6. “Should Hofstra University publish minutes from focus groups and meetings regarding renovations to the Rathskellar and/or Greenhouse?”
   a. Appropriations Chair Megan Andrews thinks there are many students on campus who do not know what the Rathskellar or the Greenhouse are.
b. Public Relations Chair Billy Finnegan motions to amend so that this question says “Should Hofstra University publish minutes from focus groups and meetings regarding renovations to campus?”
   i. Second
   ii. Speakers
      1. Public Relations Chair Billy Finnegan says that to his knowledge there is no limit to the number of referendum questions, so we can make more. He thinks that published minutes would be very much appreciated so that we can see who said what. He doesn’t know how much of an impact this will have, but it is important information to have to our disposal.
      2. IFSC Representative Cait Stolzenberg says that she doesn’t know how much publicizing minutes will do. She thinks we should re-word this question to ask a question about the renovation specifically.
      3. Spirit Chair Melanie Perry thinks that “to campus” is very broad as is “focus groups”. She doesn’t think this is a thing that needs to happen.
   iii. Vote
      1. For: 8
      2. Against: 8
      3. Abstentions: 0
   iv. Tie, so Vice President votes YES to amend the question.

7. Motion to strike the amended question above from the minutes
   a. Second
   b. Objection
   c. Speakers
      i. Spirit Chair Melanie Perry motions to strike this because “campus” is vague and “focus group” is vague.
      ii. Senator Talyn Cortez thinks that it should stay since we voted for it to stay.
      iii. Appropriations Chair Megan Andrews says that the purpose of this was to get feedback on the Rat and Greenhouse, so we should ask a more specific question about what students would like to see.
      iv. Senator Tevon Hyman says that this question makes no sense to have.
      v. Public Relations Chair Billy Finnegan says that just because we have this question doesn’t mean we can’t have another question on the Rat or the Greenhouse. By publishing these minutes, the entire general public can look at the minutes that someone officially recorded. This question can be more about disclosure
about information and it is important information to get our there.

d. Vote on striking question above
   i. For: 9
   ii. Against: 7
   iii. Abstentions: 0

e. Motion passes and question is removed.

8. Senator Tevon Hyman motions to add “Should Hofstra expand the no smoking regulations to the North Side of campus?”
   a. Second
   b. Objection
   c. Speakers
      i. Public Relations Chair Billy Finnegan is against a smoking ban on the north side of campus, but the referendum questions are about collecting polling data. This is a very good opportunity to get information on this issue. Regardless of how you feel about the smoking ban, this is how we can figure out how to respond to our constituents.
      ii. Senator Ortal Weinberg doesn’t think we should add this question because she doesn’t think the fact that we are going to have a couple of hundred of students voting is going to do anything.
      iii. Spirit Chair Melanie Perry thinks that it is a big issue and the fact that U Senate is talking about it is an even bigger reason to have people vote on it. Then, U Senate will have information to use in their decision.

d. Vote on adding questions
   i. For: 11
   ii. Against: 3
   iii. Abstentions: 0

e. Question is added to referendum question list.

9. Senator Tevon Hymen motions to add “Should Hofstra allow non-students to attend Music Fest for a fee?”
   a. Second
   b. Vote
      i. For: 14
      ii. Against: 0
      iii. Abstentions: 0

10. Spirit Chair Melanie Perry motions to add “Would you as a student self-enforce the smoking ban on the South Side of campus?”
    a. Second
    b. Objection
    c. Speakers
       i. Spirit Chair Melanie Perry thinks that this is an issue that people still smoke even though there is a ban.
ii. Public Relations Chair Billy Finnegan thinks that people will just say yes to this question and then never actually do it.

iii. Programming Chair Chelsea Gillyard agrees with Public Relations Chair Billy Finnegan and thinks that people will just say yes.

iv. Appropriations Chair Megan Andrews thinks that a concern with bringing this question in any form is that there is still a large portion of students who think that the smoking ban isn’t necessary.

d. Spirit Chair Melanie Perry amends her questions to “Do you think the current smoking ban on the South Side of campus is being effectively enforced?”

i. Second

ii. Objection

iii. Speakers

1. Appropriations Chair Megan Andrews thinks that no one will argue that the ban is being effectively enforced because you can always see or smell someone smoking. This question will be there to add an additional question.

2. Spirit Chair Melanie Perry thinks that this is an effective question and that it will give administration and Public Safety more information.

iv. Vote

1. For: 9
2. Against: 4
3. Abstentions: 2

v. Question will be added to the referendum list.

11. IFSC Representative Cait Stolzenberg motions to add the question “Would you like to see more club office spaces in the Rat/Greenhouse?” She says that there are many ways this question can be phrased. Office space/storage space/dining locations

a. Appropriations Chair Megan Andrews motions to go to a five minute moderated caucus with 30 second speaking times.

i. Second

ii. Vote on going to moderated caucus

1. For: 13
2. Against: 0
3. Abstentions: 1

b. Moderated Caucus

i. IFSC Representative Cait Stolzenberg thinks that it is important to ask questions about the Rat and the Greenhouse because it is in the developing stages. It would be good to know how the general student body feels about this, but she thinks having three separate questions on one topic might be too excessive. At least
one question should be asked about what students think should be happening.

ii. Appropriations Chair Megan Andrews agrees with IFSC Representative Cait Stolzenberg and thinks we should ask questions about the Rat and Greenhouse. However, she doesn’t know if adding “club office spaces” as an option is practical. IFSC Representative Cait Stolzenberg says that in the focus group, club office spaces were discussed.

iii. Club Relations Chair Cameron Freitag thinks that club office spaces should be an option because we get a ton of office space applications and we don’t have anywhere to put these clubs.

iv. Spirit Chair Melanie Perry likes all of the question options, and thinks that all three should be asked. The only think she is concerned about is if some people say “yes” to all three, because that wouldn’t help us at all.

v. Programming Chair Chelsea Gillyard thinks that if we ask all three questions, we might get a “yes” to all three questions and that wouldn’t benefit us.

vi. Club Relations Chair Cameron Freitag says that if we were to install offices, they would be able to be used as storage spaces.

vii. Public Relations Chair Billy Finnegan also thinks that a high number of people would say yes to all three questions. We need to make sure we are clear in our questioning, so if we can condense this to one question, it would give us the most usable information.

viii. IFSC Representative Cait Stolzenberg says that just because we are asking these questions doesn’t mean that they are going to happen. It also doesn’t mean that all three aren’t possible...there is a lot of space down here and they are looking to renovate all of it.

ix. Club Relations Chair Cameron Freitag says that we are planning on making the Small Clubs general office area a meeting space for clubs when we remove the cubicles.
	x. Spirit Chair Melanie Perry would like to affirm that she is pro having three questions!

c. IFSC Representative Cait Stolzenberg motions to add all three questions separately: 1.) “would you like to see more club office spaces added to the Greenhouse/Rathskellar?”

i. Second

ii. Vote

1. For: 15
2. Against: 0
3. Abstentions: 0

iii. Question is added to referendum list
d. IFSC Representative Cait Stolzenberg motions to add 2.) “Would you like to see more Hofstra club and organization storage spaces added to the Greenhouse/Rathskellar?”
   i. Second
   ii. Objection
   iii. Speakers
   1. Club Relations Chair Cameron Freitag thinks that if we were to put the storage question, it should be only for SGA clubs. He doesn’t believe that any exclusive club or organization should be awarded an office space.
   2. IFSC Representative Cait Stolzenberg says that she believes that the storage space should be for everyone.
   3. Public Relations Chair Billy Finnegan says that if this was our space to give to clubs, then yes, we would stick to our rules. However, this space is overseen by Conference Services and Event Management so we should not make the decision of who and who cannot get storage space.
   4. Senator Tevon Hyman says that he understands where IFSC Representative Cait Stolzenberg is coming from in terms of storage space, however, it should be at the discretion of SGA.
   5. Appropriations Chair Megan Andrews says that if we add “SGA” to the question, then it would not be an appropriate question.
   6. Spirit Chair Melanie Perry doesn’t feel comfortable catering this to only SGA clubs. She doesn’t see any reason why it should have to be only SGA clubs.

   iv. Vote
   1. For: 12
   2. Against: 2
   3. Abstentions: 1

   v. Motion passes and question is added to referendum.

e. IFSC Representative Cait Stolzenberg motions to add the question “Would you like to see a dining services location added to the Greenhouse/Rathskellar?”
   i. Second
   ii. Vote
   1. For: 12
   2. Against: 2
   3. Abstentions: 1

   iii. Motion passes and question is added to referendum

  12. “Would you utilize an ATM machine inside Dutch Treats?”

iv. Motion to pass
1. Second
2. Objection
   a. Club Relations Chair Cameron Freitag would like to see the questions properly re-numbered.
      i. Second
3. Motion rescinded
v. Re-numbering of questions
   1. Would you utilize an ATM machine inside Dutch Treats?
   2. Do you think there are enough vegetarian/vegan options on campus?
   3. Do you think there are enough gluten free dining options offered on campus?
   4. Should Hofstra expand no smoking regulations to the North side of campus?
   5. Should Hofstra allow non-students to allow Music Fest for a fee?
   6. Do you think the current smoking ban on the south side of campus is being effectively enforced?
   7. Would you like to see more club office spaces added to the Greenhouse/Rat?
   8. Would you like to see more Hofstra University recognized clubs and organization storage space added to the Greenhouse/Rat?
   9. Would you like to see a dining services location added to the Greenhouse/Rat?
   vi. Motion to pass
      1. Second
vii. Minutes passed
e. Spirit Chair Melanie Perry
   i. Passing around a sign up sheet for Capture the Flag this weekend!
   ii. Please go help with Hofstra Palooza on Sunday!
   iii. Face painting before the lacrosse game was awesome!
   iv. Motion to pass
      1. Second
   v. Minutes passed
f. Student Services Chair Jesse Lender
   i. Ella is working on getting hot water in the bathrooms
   ii. Talyn is working on the 18th credit research!
   iii. Sohum is working on the bike sharing program!
   iv. Committee picked the buildings that they think need clocks
      1. Breslin
      2. Gittleson
      3. Brower
   v. Motion to pass
      1. Second
   vi. Minutes passed
g. Academic Affairs Chair Jesse Lender
   i. No report
IX. For the Good of the Order
X. Announcements
XI. Snap Cup
XII. Motion to adjourn  
   a. Second  
XIII. Meeting adjourned at 9:59 pm.