



Hofstra University - Main  
Traditional Report AY 2017-18  
New York



REPORT COMPLETE  
STATUS: CERTIFIED

## Institution Information

### ADDRESS

233 Hagedorn Hall

119 Hofstra University

### CITY

Hempstead

### STATE

New York

### ZIP

11549

### SALUTATION

Ms.

### FIRST NAME

Stacy

### LAST NAME

Zalewski

### PHONE

(516) 463-5745

### EMAIL

stacy.l.zalewski@hofstra.edu

Is your institution a member of an HEA Title II Teacher Quality Partnership (TQP) grant awarded by the U.S. Department of Education?

<https://www2.ed.gov/programs/tqpartnership/awards.html>

- Yes  
 No

If yes, provide the following:

AWARD YEAR

GRANTEE NAME

PROJECT NAME

GRANT NUMBER

LIST PARTNER DISTRICTS/LEAS (ONE PER LINE)

LIST OTHER PARTNERS (ONE PER LINE)

PROJECT TYPE

- Residency
- Pre-baccalaureate
- Both Residency and Pre-baccalaureate

# List of Programs

On this page, review the list of teacher preparation programs offered by your institution of higher education (IHE) or organization. If you submitted an IPRC last year, this list of programs is pre-loaded from your prior year's report. If your IHE offers both traditional and alternative programs, be sure to enter the programs in the appropriate reports. For the traditional report, list all traditional programs within the IHE. For the alternative report, list all alternative programs within the IHE. You may edit, delete, and insert new rows as necessary.

After reviewing and updating as necessary, save the page using the floating save box at the bottom of the page. The system will automatically total the number of programs for you.

THIS PAGE INCLUDES:

>> [Program Information](#)

## Program Information

List each teacher preparation program included in your traditional route. Indicate if your program or programs participate in a Teacher Quality Partnership Grant awarded by the U.S. Department of Education as described at <https://www2.ed.gov/programs/tqpartnership/awards.html>.

Teacher Preparation Programs	Teacher Quality Partnership Grant Member?	Update
Adv Cert Fine Arts and Music Education	No	
Adv Cert Secondary Education	No	
Adv Cert Speech-Language Disabilities	No	
BA Early Childhood and Childhood Education	No	
BA Early Childhood Education	No	
BA Elementary Education	No	
BA English Education	No	
BA Foreign Language Education-French	No	
BA Foreign Language Education-German	No	
BA Foreign Language Education-Italian	No	
BA Foreign Language Education-Russian	No	
BA Foreign Language Education-Spanish	No	
BA Mathematics Education	No	
BA Science Education-Biology	No	
BA Science Education-Chemistry	No	

Total number of teacher preparation programs: 58

Teacher Preparation Programs	Teacher Quality Partnership Grant Member?	Update
BA Science Education-Earth Science	No	
BA Science Education-Physics	No	
BA Social Studies Education	No	
BA/MA Elementary Education:STEM (5 year dual degree program)	No	
BA/MA STEM: Early Child/Childhood (5 year dual degree program)	No	
BA/MSEd Psychology/Secondary SPED Generalist (5 year dual degree program)	No	
BBA Business Education	No	
BBA/MSEd Management & Business Education (5 year dual degree program)	No	
BS Early Childhood/Childhood Education	No	
BS Health Education	No	
BS/MS Health and Physical Education (5 year dual degree program)	No	
BSEd Dance Education	No	
BSEd Fine Arts Education	No	
BSEd in Physical Education	No	
BSEd Music Education	No	
MA Speech-Language Pathology	No	
MS Health Education	No	
MS Physical Education	No	
MSEd Business Education	No	
MSEd Early Childhood and Childhood	No	
MSEd Early Childhood Education	No	
MSEd Elementary Education	No	
MSEd English Education	No	
MSEd Family and Consumer Science	No	
MSEd Fine Arts Education	No	
MSEd Foreign Language Education: French	No	
MSEd Foreign Language Education: German	No	

**Total number of teacher preparation programs: 58**

Teacher Preparation Programs	Teacher Quality Partnership Grant Member?	Update
MSEd Foreign Language Education: Russian	No	
MSEd Foreign Language Education: Spanish	No	
MSEd Inclusive Early Childhood Special Education	No	
MSEd Inclusive Elementary Special Education	No	
MSEd Inclusive Secondary Special Education	No	
MSEd Languages Other Than English & TESOL	No	
MSEd Mathematics Education	No	
MSEd Music Education	No	
MSEd Science Education: Biology	No	
MSEd Science Education: Chemistry	No	
MSEd Science Education: Earth Science	No	
MSEd Science Education: Physics	No	
MSEd Secondary Special Education Generalist	No	
MSEd Social Studies Education	No	
MSEd Students with Disabilities 7-12 generalist w/extension in secondary ed	No	
MSEd Teaching of English as a Second Language	No	
<b>Total number of teacher preparation programs: 58</b>		

# Program Requirements

## THIS PAGE INCLUDES:

- >> [Admissions](#)
- >> [Undergraduate Requirements](#)
- >> [Postgraduate Requirements](#)
- >> [Supervised Clinical Experience](#)

On this page, review and enter information about the program requirements for admission into the program, program completion, and supervised clinical experience. If you submitted an IPRC last year, much of this page is pre-loaded from your prior year's report. If your IHE offers both traditional and alternative programs, be sure to specify the requirements in the appropriate reports. For the traditional report, provide the requirements for traditional programs within the IHE. For the alternative report, provide the requirements for the alternative programs within the IHE.

After reviewing and updating as necessary, save the page using the floating save box at the bottom of the page.

## Admissions

1. Indicate when students are formally admitted into your initial teacher certification program:

Other

If Other, please specify:

see below

2. Does your initial teacher certification program conditionally admit students?

- Yes  
 No

3. Provide a link to your website where additional information about admissions requirements can be found:

<http://www.hofstra.edu/Admission/index.html>

4. Please provide any additional information about or exceptions to the admissions information provided above:

On the undergraduate level, our students in K-12 programs are accepted as freshmen; Undergraduate students preparing to teach at the early childhood, elementary or secondary level need to apply to the Department of Teaching, Learning & Technology (TLT) at the end of the sophomore year and present a 3.0 GPA and results of a nationally-normed standardized admission test. In order to graduate, all undergraduate programs require a minimum GPA of 2.75. Applicants to our graduate initial certification programs must have a minimum of a bachelor's degree. Programs require a 3.0 GPA for admission, with some flexibility for mitigating circumstances, as well as results from a nationally-normed standardized admission test. Admissions to Hofstra's 5-year dual degree Health/Physical ed program must submit scores on a nationally-normed admission test such as the SAT, ACT, AP, or IB. A 1170 or better on the critical reading and mathematics sections of the SAT (or an ACT score of 25) and an overall high school GPA of 3.5 (or be in the top 15 percent of their high school graduating class) in order to be considered for admission. Students admitted directly to the dual-degree program as incoming freshmen will be admitted automatically to the M.S. program at the end of their junior year provided they have a cumulative GPA of 3.0 or above and at least 90 S.H. of coursework towards the B.S.

## Undergraduate Requirements

Please provide the following information about your teacher preparation program's entry and exit requirements. ([§205\(a\)\(1\)\(C\)\(i\)](#))

1. Are there initial teacher certification programs at the undergraduate level?

- Yes
- No

If yes, for each element listed below, indicate if it is required for admission into or exit from any of your teacher preparation program(s) at the undergraduate level. If no, leave the rest of the page blank (or [clear responses already entered](#)) then click save at the bottom of the page.

Element	Required for Entry	Required for Exit
Transcript	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No
Fingerprint check	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No
Background check	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No
Minimum number of courses/credits/semester hours completed	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No
Minimum GPA	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No
Minimum GPA in content area coursework	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No
Minimum GPA in professional education coursework	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No
Minimum ACT score	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No
Minimum SAT score	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No
Minimum basic skills test score	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No
Subject area/academic content test or other subject matter verification	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No
Recommendation(s)	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No
Essay or personal statement	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No
Interview	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No
Other Specify: <input type="text" value="Portfolio for Fine Arts Education"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No

2. What is the minimum GPA required for admission into the program? (Leave blank if you indicated that a minimum GPA is not required in the table above.)

3. What was the median GPA of individuals accepted into the program in academic year 2017-18?

4. What is the minimum GPA required for completing the program? (Leave blank if you indicated that a minimum GPA is not required in the table above.)

5. What was the median GPA of individuals completing the program in academic year 2017-18?

6. Please provide any additional information about the information provided above:

# Postgraduate Requirements

Please provide the following information about your teacher preparation program's entry and exit requirements. ([§205\(a\)\(1\)\(C\)\(i\)](#))

1. Are there initial teacher certification programs at the postgraduate level?

- Yes
- No

If yes, for each element listed below, indicate if it is required for admission into or exit from any of your teacher preparation program(s) at the postgraduate level. If no, leave the rest of the page blank (or [clear responses already entered](#)) then click save at the bottom of the page.

Element	Required for Entry	Required for Exit
Transcript	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No
Fingerprint check	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No
Background check	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No
Minimum number of courses/credits/semester hours completed	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No
Minimum GPA	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No
Minimum GPA in content area coursework	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No
Minimum GPA in professional education coursework	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No
Minimum ACT score	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No
Minimum SAT score	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No
Minimum basic skills test score	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No
Subject area/academic content test or other subject matter verification	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No
Recommendation(s)	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No
Essay or personal statement	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No
Interview	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No
Other Specify: Portfolio for Fine Arts Education, GRE	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No

2. What is the minimum GPA required for admission into the program? (Leave blank if you indicated that a minimum GPA is not required in the table above.)

3

3. What was the median GPA of individuals accepted into the program in academic year 2017-18?

3.43

4. What is the minimum GPA required for completing the program? (Leave blank if you indicated that a minimum GPA is not required in the table above.)

3

5. What was the median GPA of individuals completing the program in academic year 2017-18?



6. Please provide any additional information about the information provided above:

## Supervised Clinical Experience

Provide the following information about supervised clinical experience in 2017-18. ([§205\(a\)\(1\)\(C\)\(iii\)](#), [§205\(a\)\(1\)\(C\)\(iv\)](#))

[Additional guidance on reporting supervised clinical experience and nonclinical coursework.](#)

Average number of clock hours of supervised clinical experience required prior to student teaching	100
Average number of clock hours required for student teaching	450
Average number of clock hours required for mentoring/induction support	0
Number of full-time equivalent faculty supervising clinical experience during this academic year	7
Number of adjunct faculty supervising clinical experience during this academic year (IHE and PreK-12 staff)	42
Number of students in supervised clinical experience during this academic year	422

Please provide any additional information about or descriptions of the supervised clinical experiences:

Students within all program options leading to NYS teaching certification are placed in clinical settings representing the full range of grade levels and developmental levels covered in their area of certification. We seek placements in districts and schools that meet the following criteria: 1. Good school climate; Hofstra students are welcome. 2. Cooperating Teachers genuinely enjoy children, teaching, and mentoring and have at least three years of teaching experience within the area of certification. 3. Cooperating Teachers are comfortable having the Hofstra student introduce new materials and methods in the classroom. 4. Placement is generally congruent with Hofstra's program objectives. It is our goal for Hofstra students to have opportunities to observe and plan lessons that: • integrate the language arts and Common Core curriculum standards • actively engage learners in hands-on, inquiry based activities • value student voice and student decision-making • provide opportunities for students to make meaning from their experiences • respect students' diverse backgrounds • reflect positive classroom management • integrate curriculum and reflect thematic approaches • provide for student interaction and cooperative learning • emphasize process and the introduction of "big" ideas and concepts • utilize small group instruction and adapt to varied student needs • integrate appropriate technology Student teachers spend approximately 15 weeks in supervised clinical settings and typically are placed in two settings that address the full range of developmental/grade levels covered by their area of certification. Hofstra University faculty members observe students multiple times in each setting and conduct a weekly seminar with student teachers on campus. The goal is to develop reflective, activist, scholar practitioners who raise questions, look reflectively at their work, and make decisions about children, materials and curriculum that are informed by research. Both cooperating teachers and clinical supervisors evaluate student teachers under close clinical supervision and provide direct feedback. Student teachers are required to electronically submit all lesson plans in advance of teaching. Students also submit weekly reflections on their teaching practices and submit planning, instructional, and assessment commentaries consistent with edTPA requirements. Faculty review student reflection documents. All program options require the submission of a student teaching portfolio. Videotaping of lessons occurs as part of regular student teaching as well as part of the edTPA portfolio. One program option provides close clinical supervision prior to student teaching. Undergraduate early childhood and childhood students have two semesters of close clinical supervision prior to student teaching. During these two semesters, students are placed in a school setting for 9 hours a week for 10 weeks. We observe students teaching small group lessons four times during each semester. These placements are associated with methods courses in social studies, language arts, mathematics and science. In addition, graduate level early childhood and childhood students have two semester of close clinical supervision prior to student teaching where they are placed in a school setting for 45 hours each over the course of both semesters. The secondary education program also provides for a close clinical supervision experience prior to student teaching. During the semester prior to student teaching, students are placed in a school setting for 10 hours per week for 5 weeks. Students are observed teaching small group lessons two times during each semester in their content area. These placements are associated with methods courses in social studies, English, mathematics, science, and languages other than English. The LOTE/TESOL Dual Program spans two semesters with LOTE being the primary certification area. An eight week ESL placement that includes 4 weeks at the elementary level and 4 weeks at the secondary level follows the full 15 week LOTE student teaching experience. The Physical Education/Health Dual Program involves an additional 5 week health student teaching placement after a full 15 week PE student teaching experience that is half at the elementary and half at the secondary levels.



## Enrollment

THIS PAGE INCLUDES:

>> [Enrollment](#)

On this page, enter the number of candidates for an initial teaching credential who are enrolled in the initial teacher preparation programs within your institution of higher education (IHE) or organization. **Do not** report on the total number of students enrolled in the entire IHE. **Do not** include individuals who currently hold a teaching credential and are seeking additional licenses or endorsements, or individuals preparing for school-based careers other than classroom teachers (e.g., administrators, guidance counselors).

The Department recognizes that in many cases, candidates voluntarily report their race/ethnicity and gender data, and that in some cases, candidates may choose not to report this information. Please report on the race/ethnicity data you have available, though the data may not be complete. It is not expected that the sum of the enrolled students reported by race/ethnicity or by gender will necessarily equal the total number of students enrolled.

If your IHE offers both traditional and alternative programs, be sure to enter the candidates enrolled in the appropriate reports. For the traditional report, provide only the candidates enrolled in traditional programs within the IHE. For the alternative report, provide only the candidates enrolled in the alternative programs within the IHE.

After entering the enrollment data, save the page using the floating save box at the bottom of the page.

## Enrollment

For the purpose of Title II reporting, an enrolled student is defined as a student who has been admitted to a teacher preparation program, but who has not completed the program during the academic year being reported. An individual who completed the program during the academic year being reported is counted as a program completer and *not* an enrolled student.

### [Additional guidance on reporting race and ethnicity data.](#)

Total number of students enrolled in 2017-18	<input type="text" value="366"/>
Unduplicated number of males enrolled in 2017-18	<input type="text" value="93"/>
Unduplicated number of females enrolled in 2017-18	<input type="text" value="273"/>

Provide the number of students in the teacher preparation program in the following categories. Note that you must report on the number of students by ethnicity and race separately. Individuals who are non-Hispanic/Latino will be reported in one of the race categories. Also note that individuals can belong to one or more racial groups, so the sum of the members of each racial category may not necessarily add up to the total number of students enrolled. ([§205\(a\)\(1\)\(C\)\(ii\)\(H\)](#))

2017-18	Number Enrolled
<i>Ethnicity</i>	
Hispanic/Latino of any race	<input type="text" value="53"/>
<i>Race</i>	

2017-18

Number Enrolled

American Indian or Alaska Native

1

Asian

19

Black or African American

17

Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander

3

White

253

Two or more races

3

## Teachers Prepared

On this page, enter the number of program completers by the subject area in which they were prepared to teach, and by their academic majors. Note that an individual can be counted in more than one academic major and subject area. For example, if an individual is prepared to teach Elementary Education and Mathematics, that individual should be counted in both subject areas. If no individuals were prepared in a particular academic major or subject area, you may leave the cell blank. Please use the "Other" category sparingly, if there is no similar subject area or academic major listed. In these cases, you should use the text box to describe the subject area(s) and/or the academic major(s) counted in the "Other" category.

If your IHE offers both traditional and alternative programs, be sure to enter the program completers in the appropriate reports. For the traditional report, provide only the program completers in traditional programs within the IHE. For the alternative report, provide only the program completers for the alternative programs within the IHE.

After entering the teachers prepared data, save the page using the floating save box at the bottom of the page.

### THIS PAGE INCLUDES:

- >> [Teachers Prepared by Subject Area](#)
- >> [Teachers Prepared by Academic Major](#)

## Teachers Prepared by Subject Area

Please provide the number of teachers prepared by subject area for academic year 2017-18. For the purposes of this section, number prepared means the number of program completers. "Subject area" refers to the subject area(s) an individual has been prepared to teach. An individual can be counted in more than one subject area. If no individuals were prepared in a particular subject area, please leave that cell blank. ([§205\(b\)\(1\)\(H\)](#))

[Additional guidance on reporting teachers prepared by subject area.](#)

### [What are CIP Codes?](#)

No teachers prepared in academic year 2017-18

CIP Code	Subject Area	Number Prepared
13.01	Education - General	<input type="text"/>
13.10	Teacher Education - Special Education	34
13.1210	Teacher Education - Early Childhood Education	79
13.1202	Teacher Education - Elementary Education	53
13.1203	Teacher Education - Junior High/Intermediate/Middle School Education	<input type="text"/>
13.1205	Teacher Education - Secondary Education	<input type="text"/>
13.1206	Teacher Education - Multiple Levels	<input type="text"/>

CIP Code	Subject Area	Number Prepared
13.1301	Teacher Education - Agriculture	
13.1302	Teacher Education - Art	6
13.1303	Teacher Education - Business	12
13.1305	Teacher Education - English/Language Arts	7
13.1306	Teacher Education - Foreign Language	1
13.1307	Teacher Education - Health	3
13.1308	Teacher Education - Family and Consumer Sciences/Home Economics	1
13.1309	Teacher Education - Technology Teacher Education/Industrial Arts	
13.1311	Teacher Education - Mathematics	8
13.1312	Teacher Education - Music	17
13.1314	Teacher Education - Physical Education and Coaching	12
13.1315	Teacher Education - Reading	
13.1316	Teacher Education - Science Teacher Education/General Science	6
13.1317	Teacher Education - Social Science	
13.1318	Teacher Education - Social Studies	19
13.1319	Teacher Education - Technical Education	
13.1321	Teacher Education - Computer Science	
13.1322	Teacher Education - Biology	7
13.1323	Teacher Education - Chemistry	
13.1324	Teacher Education - Drama and Dance	10
13.1325	Teacher Education - French	
13.1326	Teacher Education - German	
13.1328	Teacher Education - History	
13.1329	Teacher Education - Physics	
13.1330	Teacher Education - Spanish	4

CIP Code	Subject Area	Number Prepared
13.1331	Teacher Education - Speech	41
13.1332	Teacher Education - Geography	
13.1333	Teacher Education - Latin	
13.1335	Teacher Education - Psychology	
13.1337	Teacher Education - Earth Science	1
13.14	Teacher Education - English as a Second Language	12
13.02	Teacher Education - Bilingual, Multilingual, and Multicultural Education	
13.99	Education - Other Specify: Mandarin	3

## Teachers Prepared by Academic Major

Please provide the number of teachers prepared by academic major for academic year 2017-18. For the purposes of this section, number prepared means the number of program completers. "Academic major" refers to the actual major(s) declared by the program completer. An individual can be counted in more than one academic major. If no individuals were prepared in a particular academic major, please leave that cell blank. ([§205\(b\)\(1\)\(H\)](#))

Please note that the list of majors includes several "Teacher Education" majors, as well as several noneducation majors. Please use care in entering your majors to ensure education-specific majors and non-education majors are counted correctly. For example, if an individual majored in Chemistry, that individual should be counted in the "Chemistry" academic major category rather than the "Teacher Education–Chemistry" category.

[Additional guidance on reporting teachers prepared by academic major.](#)

### What are CIP Codes?

No teachers prepared in academic year 2017-18

CIP Code	Academic Major	Number Prepared
13.01	Education - General	2
13.10	Teacher Education - Special Education	2
13.1210	Teacher Education - Early Childhood Education	50
13.1202	Teacher Education - Elementary Education	50
13.1203	Teacher Education - Junior High/Intermediate/Middle School Education	
13.1205	Teacher Education - Secondary Education	3
13.1301	Teacher Education - Agriculture	

CIP Code	Academic Major	Number Prepared
13.1302	Teacher Education - Art	2
13.1303	Teacher Education - Business	
13.1305	Teacher Education - English/Language Arts	4
13.1306	Teacher Education - Foreign Language	
13.1307	Teacher Education - Health	3
13.1308	Teacher Education - Family and Consumer Sciences/Home Economics	
13.1309	Teacher Education - Technology Teacher Education/Industrial Arts	
13.1311	Teacher Education - Mathematics	6
13.1312	Teacher Education - Music	15
13.1314	Teacher Education - Physical Education and Coaching	6
13.1315	Teacher Education - Reading	
13.1316	Teacher Education - Science	
13.1317	Teacher Education - Social Science	
13.1318	Teacher Education - Social Studies	8
13.1319	Teacher Education - Technical Education	
13.1321	Teacher Education - Computer Science	
13.1322	Teacher Education - Biology	
13.1323	Teacher Education - Chemistry	
13.1324	Teacher Education - Drama and Dance	9
13.1325	Teacher Education - French	
13.1326	Teacher Education - German	
13.1328	Teacher Education - History	
13.1329	Teacher Education - Physics	
13.1330	Teacher Education - Spanish	2
13.1331	Teacher Education - Speech	



CIP Code	Academic Major	Number Prepared
13.1332	Teacher Education - Geography	<input type="text"/>
13.1333	Teacher Education - Latin	<input type="text"/>
13.1335	Teacher Education - Psychology	<input type="text"/>
13.1337	Teacher Education - Earth Science	<input type="text"/>
13.14	Teacher Education - English as a Second Language	1
13.02	Teacher Education - Bilingual, Multilingual, and Multicultural Education	<input type="text"/>
13.03	Education - Curriculum and Instruction	<input type="text"/>
13.09	Education - Social and Philosophical Foundations of Education	<input type="text"/>
24	Liberal Arts/Humanities	1
42	Psychology	19
45.01	Social Sciences	4
45.02	Anthropology	1
45.06	Economics	1
45.07	Geography and Cartography	<input type="text"/>
45.10	Political Science and Government	1
45.11	Sociology	<input type="text"/>
50	Visual and Performing Arts	8
54	History	9
16	Foreign Languages	5
19	Family and Consumer Sciences/Human Sciences	1
23	English Language/Literature	10
38	Philosophy and Religious Studies	<input type="text"/>
01	Agriculture	<input type="text"/>
09	Communication or Journalism	7
14	Engineering	<input type="text"/>

CIP Code	Academic Major	Number Prepared
26	Biology	9
27	Mathematics and Statistics	3
40.01	Physical Sciences	5
40.02	Astronomy and Astrophysics	
40.04	Atmospheric Sciences and Meteorology	
40.05	Chemistry	
40.06	Geological and Earth Sciences/Geosciences	
40.08	Physics	
52	Business/Business Administration/Accounting	13
11	Computer and Information Sciences	
99	<b>Other Specify:</b> Fashion & Textiles-1, Speech (comm. disorders)-35, Translation-1, Automation-1, Sports Manag- 2	40

## Program Completers

On this page, enter the total number of individuals who completed the program in AY 2017-18 and the two prior academic years. If you submitted an IPRC last year, the number of program completers for the two prior academic years are pre-loaded from your prior year's report.

A program completer is a person who has met all the requirements of a state-approved teacher preparation program. Program completers include all those who are documented as having met such requirements. Documentation may take the form of a degree, institutional certificate, program credential, transcript or other written proof of having met the program's requirements. In applying this definition, the fact that an individual has or has not been recommended to the state for initial certification or licensure may not be used as a criterion for determining who is a program completer.

An individual cannot be classified as both enrolled and as a program completer at the same time. An enrolled individual is not a program completer. Once an individual has met all the requirements of a state-approved teacher preparation program and becomes a program completer, the individual is no longer classified as enrolled.

After entering the program completers, save the page using the floating save box at the bottom of the page.

THIS PAGE INCLUDES:

>> [Program Completers](#)

## Program Completers

Provide the total number of teacher preparation program completers in each of the following academic years.

2017-18	<input type="text" value="296"/>
2016-17	<input type="text" value="232"/>
2015-16	<input type="text" value="289"/>

# Annual Goals

On this page, review the annual goals in each subject area listed below. If you submitted an IPRC last year, the goals you entered last year are pre-loaded from your prior year's report. Please respond to the questions to report on progress towards the goals, and set new goals for the next academic year.

After reviewing and updating as necessary, save the page using the floating save box at the bottom of the page.

## THIS PAGE INCLUDES:

- >> [Annual Goals - Mathematics](#)
- >> [Annual Goals - Science](#)
- >> [Annual Goals - Special Education](#)
- >> [Annual Goals - Instruction of Limited English Proficient Students](#)
- >> [Assurances](#)

## Annual Goals - Mathematics

Each institution of higher education (IHE) that conducts a traditional teacher preparation program (including programs that offer any ongoing professional development programs) or alternative route to state credential program, and that enrolls students receiving Federal assistance under this Act, shall set annual quantifiable goals for increasing the number of prospective teachers trained in teacher shortage areas designated by the Secretary or by the state educational agency, including mathematics, science, special education, and instruction of limited English proficient students. ([§205\(a\)\(1\)\(A\)\(ii\)](#), [§206\(a\)](#))

Information about teacher shortage areas can be found at <https://www2.ed.gov/about/offices/list/ope/pol/tsa.html>.

Please provide the information below about your program's goals to increase the number of prospective teachers in mathematics in each of three academic years.

### Academic year 2017-18

1. Did your program prepare teachers in mathematics in 2017-18?

- Yes  
 No (leave remaining questions for year blank)

2. How many prospective teachers did your program plan to add in mathematics in 2017-18?

20

3. Did your program meet the goal for prospective teachers set in mathematics in 2017-18?

- Yes  
 No  
 Not applicable

4. Description of strategies used to achieve goal, if applicable:

We had numerous Open Houses at Hofstra to provide information about our teacher education programs and to advertise our NSF-funded Robert Noyce Scholarship/Stipend program that provides tuition loans to our qualifying undergraduate and graduate Math Education and Science Education majors. (Note: The loans are "forgiven" by NSF after the students have taught for 2 years in a high-needs school district for each year they took the loan.) We also initiated a 5-Year program in mathematics education to attract students. This year, we have attracted 13 new students consisting of 9 graduate and 4 undergraduate students. We anticipate approximately 3-6 more students in the summer sessions of 2019.

5. Description of steps to improve performance in meeting goal or lessons learned in meeting goal, if applicable:

The new 5-Year program has begun to attract more students because it results in large savings to the student, both in time and tuition. We will be more active in promoting this program, as well as the Robert Noyce Scholarship/Stipend program.

6. Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below:

We learned that our tuition at Hofstra is a major challenge. It is much higher than at many universities in the state. Neighboring universities have begun to provide large scholarships to education majors (with no required service agreement) and to provide large summer institutes at a considerably reduced price. Additionally, NY State has begun to provide free or reduced tuition to NY state residents with qualifying income levels who choose to attend public universities in NY state for their bachelor's degrees.

### Academic year 2018-19

7. Is your program preparing teachers in mathematics in 2018-19?

- Yes  
 No (leave remaining questions for year blank)

8. How many prospective teachers did your program plan to add in mathematics in 2018-19?

20

9. Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below:

### Academic year 2019-20

10. Will your program prepare teachers in mathematics in 2019-20?

- Yes  
 No (leave remaining questions for year blank)

11. How many prospective teachers does your program plan to add in mathematics in 2019-20?

20

12. Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below:

## Annual Goals - Science

Each institution of higher education (IHE) that conducts a traditional teacher preparation program (including programs that offer any ongoing professional development programs) or alternative route to state credential program, and that enrolls students receiving Federal assistance under this Act, shall set annual quantifiable goals for increasing the number of prospective teachers trained in teacher shortage areas designated by the Secretary or by the state educational agency, including mathematics, science, special education, and instruction of limited English proficient students. ([§205\(a\)\(1\)\(A\)\(ii\)](#), [§206\(a\)](#))

Information about teacher shortage areas can be found at <https://www2.ed.gov/about/offices/list/ope/pol/tsa.html>.

Please provide the information below about your program's goals to increase the number of prospective teachers in science in each of three academic years.

### Academic year 2017-18

1. Did your program prepare teachers in science in 2017-18?

- Yes

No (leave remaining questions for year blank)

2. How many prospective teachers did your program plan to add in science in 2017-18?

6

3. Did your program meet the goal for prospective teachers set in science in 2017-18?

- Yes  
 No  
 Not applicable

4. Description of strategies used to achieve goal, if applicable:

A faculty member trained in science education took over the secondary science education directorship in Fall 2017 (the position was vacant for two years), thus allowing for more focused recruitment and advisement in line with current science standards and pedagogical methods.

5. Description of steps to improve performance in meeting goal or lessons learned in meeting goal, if applicable:

6. Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below:

### Academic year 2018-19

7. Is your program preparing teachers in science in 2018-19?

- Yes  
 No (leave remaining questions for year blank)

8. How many prospective teachers did your program plan to add in science in 2018-19?

6

9. Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below:

Goal was met.

### Academic year 2019-20

10. Will your program prepare teachers in science in 2019-20?

- Yes  
 No (leave remaining questions for year blank)

11. How many prospective teachers does your program plan to add in science in 2019-20?

6

12. Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below:

There is a high need for science teachers in NY State, particularly those trained in special education. A concerted effort to dually certify prospective teachers in science and special education is needed. Grant opportunities or methods of assisting students financially is necessary to recruit more science education students in order to fill this need.

## Annual Goals - Special Education

Each institution of higher education (IHE) that conducts a traditional teacher preparation program (including programs that offer any ongoing professional development programs) or alternative route to state credential program, and that enrolls students receiving Federal assistance under this Act, shall set annual quantifiable goals for increasing the number of prospective teachers trained in teacher shortage areas designated by the Secretary or by the state educational agency, including mathematics, science, special education, and instruction of limited English proficient students. ([§205\(a\)\(1\)\(A\)\(ii\)](#), [§206\(a\)](#))

Information about teacher shortage areas can be found at <https://www2.ed.gov/about/offices/list/ope/pol/tsa.html>.

Please provide the information below about your program's goals to increase the number of prospective teachers in special education in each of three academic years.

### Academic year 2017-18

1. Did your program prepare teachers in special education in 2017-18?

- Yes  
 No (leave remaining questions for year blank)

2. How many prospective teachers did your program plan to add in special education in 2017-18?

20

3. Did your program meet the goal for prospective teachers set in special education in 2017-18?

- Yes  
 No  
 Not applicable

4. Description of strategies used to achieve goal, if applicable:

Special Education faculty participate in regularly scheduled Open House events, make themselves available for walk-in appointments with interested students, and quickly respond to email correspondence. In addition, Special Education faculty wrote a grant proposal- School- Age Low-Incidence Scholars (SALIS) program which offers financial support to teacher candidates certified in Early Childhood or Elementary Education.

5. Description of steps to improve performance in meeting goal or lessons learned in meeting goal, if applicable:

6. Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below:

Continue to develop new programs to meet the needs in special education. We are currently developing programs in the area of adaptive physical education, a dual certification early childhood-childhood special education as well as a five year programs in general education/special education. We will continue to use the hybrid model throughout our programs.

### Academic year 2018-19

7. Is your program preparing teachers in special education in 2018-19?

- Yes  
 No (leave remaining questions for year blank)

8. How many prospective teachers did your program plan to add in special education in 2018-19?

25

9. Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below:

Continue to develop new programs to meet the needs in special education. We are currently developing programs in the area of adaptive physical education, a dual certification early childhood-childhood special education as well as a five year programs in general education/special education. We will continue to use the hybrid model throughout our programs.

### Academic year 2019-20

10. Will your program prepare teachers in special education in 2019-20?

- Yes  
 No (leave remaining questions for year blank)

11. How many prospective teachers does your program plan to add in special education in 2019-20?

25

12. Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below:

## Annual Goals - Instruction of Limited English Proficient Students

Each institution of higher education (IHE) that conducts a traditional teacher preparation program (including programs that offer any ongoing professional development programs) or alternative route to state credential program, and that enrolls students receiving Federal assistance under this Act, shall set annual quantifiable goals for increasing the number of prospective teachers trained in teacher shortage areas designated by the Secretary or by the state educational agency, including mathematics, science, special education, and instruction of limited English proficient students. ([§205\(a\)\(1\)\(A\)\(ii\)](#), [§206\(a\)](#))

Information about teacher shortage areas can be found at <https://www2.ed.gov/about/offices/list/ope/pol/tsa.html>.

Please provide the information below about your program's goals to increase the number of prospective teachers in instruction of limited English proficient students in each of three academic years.

### Academic year 2017-18

1. Did your program prepare teachers in instruction of limited English proficient students in 2017-18?

- Yes  
 No (leave remaining questions for year blank)

2. How many prospective teachers did your program plan to add in instruction of limited English proficient students in 2017-18?

8

3. Did your program meet the goal for prospective teachers set in instruction of limited English proficient students in 2017-18?

- Yes  
 No  
 Not applicable

4. Description of strategies used to achieve goal, if applicable:



5. Description of steps to improve performance in meeting goal or lessons learned in meeting goal, if applicable:

6. Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below:

### Academic year 2018-19

7. Is your program preparing teachers in instruction of limited English proficient students in 2018-19?

- Yes  
 No (leave remaining questions for year blank)

8. How many prospective teachers did your program plan to add in instruction of limited English proficient students in 2018-19?

7

9. Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below:

### Academic year 2019-20

10. Will your program prepare teachers in instruction of limited English proficient students in 2019-20?

- Yes  
 No (leave remaining questions for year blank)

11. How many prospective teachers does your program plan to add in instruction of limited English proficient students in 2019-20?

8

12. Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below:

## Assurances

Please certify that your institution is in compliance with the following assurances. ([§205\(a\)\(1\)\(A\)\(iii\)](#), [§206\(b\)](#)) Note: Be prepared to provide documentation and evidence for your responses, when requested, to support the following assurances.

1. Preparation responds to the identified needs of the local educational agencies or States where the program completers are likely to teach, based on past hiring and recruitment trends.

- Yes  
 No

2. Preparation is closely linked with the needs of schools and the instructional decisions new teachers face in the classroom.

- Yes  
 No

3. Prospective special education teachers are prepared in core academic subjects and to instruct in core academic subjects.

- Yes
- No
- Program does not prepare special education teachers

4. Prospective general education teachers are prepared to provide instruction to students with disabilities.

- Yes
- No

5. Prospective general education teachers are prepared to provide instruction to limited English proficient students.

- Yes
- No

6. Prospective general education teachers are prepared to provide instruction to students from low-income families.

- Yes
- No

7. Prospective teachers are prepared to effectively teach in urban and rural schools, as applicable.

- Yes
- No

8. Describe your institution's most successful strategies in meeting the assurances listed above:

All prospective teachers are provided with a variety of clinical settings during the course of their pre-practicum and student teaching experiences. These clinical settings expose prospective teachers to multi-cultural settings and varied populations of students. Clinical placements are tied to coursework that prepares candidates to create culturally relevant learning experiences. Our expectation is that candidates will demonstrate the ability to differentiate instruction for all learners including limited English proficient learners, students from low income families and students with disabilities. All prospective general education teachers are required to complete coursework and clinical placements in a special education setting as well as fulfill a clinical placement in a setting designated "high needs" by New York State. Although situated in a suburban setting, Hofstra University's close proximity to New York City provides opportunities for urban experiences for prospective teachers. Hofstra University participates in the New York City Department of Education Teacher Learning Collaborative program. The richness of these clinical experiences provides an effective tool for training prospective teachers in the stipulated areas.

# Assessment Pass Rates

THIS PAGE INCLUDES:

>> [Assessment Pass Rates](#)

On this page, review the assessment pass rates. Please note that this page does not have an edit feature as the pass rates have already been through several rounds of verification. If you identify an error, please contact Westat's Title II Support Center and your testing company representative.

After reviewing, save the page using the floating save box at the bottom of the page.

## Assessment Pass Rates

Assessment code - Assessment name Test Company Group	Number taking tests	Avg. scaled score	Number passing tests	Pass rate (%)
202 -ACADEMIC LITERACY SKILLS TEST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2015-16	114	535	107	94
006 -BIOLOGY CST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2017-18	7			
006 -BIOLOGY CST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2016-17	4			
006 -BIOLOGY CST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2015-16	4			
069 -BUSINESS AND MARKETING CST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2015-16	2			
069.1 -BUSINESS AND MARKETING CST.1 Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	5			
069.1 -BUSINESS AND MARKETING CST.1 Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2017-18	10	512	6	60
069.1 -BUSINESS AND MARKETING CST.1 Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2016-17	8			
069.1 -BUSINESS AND MARKETING CST.1 Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2015-16	1			
TP102 -BUSINESS EDUCATION Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2017-18	9			

Assessment code - Assessment name Test Company Group	Number taking tests	Avg. scaled score	Number passing tests	Pass rate (%)
TP102 -BUSINESS EDUCATION Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2016-17	8			
TP102 -BUSINESS EDUCATION Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2015-16	5			
007 -CHEMISTRY CST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2015-16	3			
070 -DANCE CST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2017-18	5			
070 -DANCE CST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2016-17	3			
070 -DANCE CST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2015-16	5			
TP014 -EARLY CHILDHOOD Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	1			
TP014 -EARLY CHILDHOOD Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2017-18	37	45	37	100
TP014 -EARLY CHILDHOOD Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2016-17	40	46	40	100
TP014 -EARLY CHILDHOOD Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2015-16	41	48	41	100
008 -EARTH SCIENCE CST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2017-18	1			
008 -EARTH SCIENCE CST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2015-16	1			
201 -EDUCATING ALL STUDENTS Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	103	529	94	91
201 -EDUCATING ALL STUDENTS Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2017-18	202	530	201	100
201 -EDUCATING ALL STUDENTS Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2016-17	214	528	212	99

Assessment code - Assessment name Test Company Group	Number taking tests	Avg. scaled score	Number passing tests	Pass rate (%)
201 -EDUCATING ALL STUDENTS Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2015-16	177	528	175	99
090 -ELEMENTARY ATS-W Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	2			
090 -ELEMENTARY ATS-W Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2017-18	4			
090 -ELEMENTARY ATS-W Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2016-17	6			
090 -ELEMENTARY ATS-W Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2015-16	1			
TP110 -ELEMENTARY EDUCATION Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	2			
TP110 -ELEMENTARY EDUCATION Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2017-18	3			
TP110 -ELEMENTARY EDUCATION Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2016-17	4			
TP110 -ELEMENTARY EDUCATION Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2015-16	4			
TP115 -ENGLISH AS AN ADDITIONAL LANGUAGE Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2017-18	6			
TP115 -ENGLISH AS AN ADDITIONAL LANGUAGE Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2016-17	12	51	11	92
TP115 -ENGLISH AS AN ADDITIONAL LANGUAGE Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2015-16	3			
003 -ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS CST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2016-17	1			
003.1 -ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS CST.1 Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	1			
003.1 -ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS CST.1 Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2017-18	6			

Assessment code - Assessment name Test Company Group	Number taking tests	Avg. scaled score	Number passing tests	Pass rate (%)
003.1 -ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS CST.1 Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2016-17	4			
003.1 -ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS CST.1 Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2015-16	7			
022 -ESOL CST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	1			
116 -ESOL CST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	4			
116 -ESOL CST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2017-18	6			
022 -ESOL CST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2017-18	2			
022 -ESOL CST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2016-17	9			
116 -ESOL CST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2016-17	6			
022 -ESOL CST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2015-16	7			
TP117 -FAMILY AND CONSUMER SCIENCES Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2015-16	1			
072.1 -FAMILY AND CONSUMER SCIENCES CST.1 Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	3			
072.1 -FAMILY AND CONSUMER SCIENCES CST.1 Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2017-18	1			
072.1 -FAMILY AND CONSUMER SCIENCES CST.1 Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2015-16	1			
012 -FRENCH CST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2016-17	2			
012 -FRENCH CST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2015-16	1			

Assessment code - Assessment name Test Company Group	Number taking tests	Avg. scaled score	Number passing tests	Pass rate (%)
TP119 -HEALTH EDUCATION Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2016-17	1			
TP119 -HEALTH EDUCATION Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2015-16	1			
073.1 -HEALTH EDUCATION CST.1 Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	2			
073.1 -HEALTH EDUCATION CST.1 Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2017-18	2			
073.1 -HEALTH EDUCATION CST.1 Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2016-17	6			
073.1 -HEALTH EDUCATION CST.1 Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2015-16	5			
016 -ITALIAN CST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2016-17	1			
TP021 -K-12 PERFORMING ARTS Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	2			
TP021 -K-12 PERFORMING ARTS Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2017-18	18	53	18	100
TP021 -K-12 PERFORMING ARTS Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2016-17	18	52	18	100
TP021 -K-12 PERFORMING ARTS Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2015-16	19	51	19	100
TP011 -K-12 PHYSICAL EDUCATION Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2017-18	7			
TP011 -K-12 PHYSICAL EDUCATION Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2016-17	9			
TP011 -K-12 PHYSICAL EDUCATION Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2015-16	5			
127 -MANDARIN CST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	1			

Assessment code - Assessment name Test Company Group	Number taking tests	Avg. scaled score	Number passing tests	Pass rate (%)
127 -MANDARIN CST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2017-18	2			
127 -MANDARIN CST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2016-17	1			
018 -MANDARIN CST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2016-17	2			
018 -MANDARIN CST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2015-16	2			
004 -MATHEMATICS CST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	1			
004 -MATHEMATICS CST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2015-16	1			
004.1 -MATHEMATICS CST.1 Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2017-18	4			
004.1 -MATHEMATICS CST.1 Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2016-17	5			
004.1 -MATHEMATICS CST.1 Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2015-16	11	554	11	100
1211 -MULTI-SUBJECT BIRTH TO GRADE 2 Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	12	1628	8	67
1211 -MULTI-SUBJECT BIRTH TO GRADE 2 Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2017-18	47	1652	43	91
1211 -MULTI-SUBJECT BIRTH TO GRADE 2 Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2016-17	50	1639	46	92
1211 -MULTI-SUBJECT BIRTH TO GRADE 2 Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2015-16	31	1623	25	81
002 -MULTI-SUBJECT CST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	2			
002 -MULTI-SUBJECT CST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2017-18	1			



Assessment code - Assessment name Test Company Group	Number taking tests	Avg. scaled score	Number passing tests	Pass rate (%)
002 -MULTI-SUBJECT CST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2015-16	1			
1221 -MULTI-SUBJECT GRADES 1 - 6 Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	14	1643	11	79
1221 -MULTI-SUBJECT GRADES 1 - 6 Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2017-18	43	1657	43	100
1221 -MULTI-SUBJECT GRADES 1 - 6 Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2016-17	47	1651	44	94
1221 -MULTI-SUBJECT GRADES 1 - 6 Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2015-16	31	1644	29	94
1241 -MULTI-SUBJECT GRADES 7 - 12 Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	2			
1241 -MULTI-SUBJECT GRADES 7 - 12 Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2017-18	3			
1241 -MULTI-SUBJECT GRADES 7 - 12 Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2016-17	5			
1241 -MULTI-SUBJECT GRADES 7 - 12 Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2015-16	6			
075 -MUSIC CST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	4			
075 -MUSIC CST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2017-18	13	252	12	92
075 -MUSIC CST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2016-17	17	249	17	100
075 -MUSIC CST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2015-16	14	246	14	100
076 -PHYSICAL EDUCATION CST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	1			
076.1 -PHYSICAL EDUCATION CST.1 Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	2			

Assessment code - Assessment name Test Company Group	Number taking tests	Avg. scaled score	Number passing tests	Pass rate (%)
076.1 -PHYSICAL EDUCATION CST.1 Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2017-18	9			
076.1 -PHYSICAL EDUCATION CST.1 Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2016-17	10	545	10	100
076.1 -PHYSICAL EDUCATION CST.1 Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2015-16	5			
009 -PHYSICS CST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	1			
009 -PHYSICS CST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2016-17	2			
019 -RUSSIAN CST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2016-17	1			
969 -SAFETY NET BUSINESS & MARKETING Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2015-16	2			
902 -SAFETY NET MULTI-SUBJECT Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	1			
902 -SAFETY NET MULTI-SUBJECT Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2016-17	3			
902 -SAFETY NET MULTI-SUBJECT Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2015-16	11	235	11	100
960 -SAFETY NET STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2016-17	1			
091 -SECONDARY ATS-W Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2017-18	7			
091 -SECONDARY ATS-W Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2016-17	5			
091 -SECONDARY ATS-W Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2015-16	10	247	10	100
TP003 -SECONDARY ENGLISH-LANGUAGE ARTS Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2017-18	6			

Assessment code - Assessment name Test Company Group	Number taking tests	Avg. scaled score	Number passing tests	Pass rate (%)
TP003 -SECONDARY ENGLISH-LANGUAGE ARTS Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2016-17	4			
TP003 -SECONDARY ENGLISH-LANGUAGE ARTS Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2015-16	5			
TP004 -SECONDARY HISTORY/SOCIAL STUDIES Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	1			
TP004 -SECONDARY HISTORY/SOCIAL STUDIES Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2017-18	16	49	16	100
TP004 -SECONDARY HISTORY/SOCIAL STUDIES Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2016-17	12	51	12	100
TP004 -SECONDARY HISTORY/SOCIAL STUDIES Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2015-16	11	47	11	100
TP005 -SECONDARY MATHEMATICS Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	1			
TP005 -SECONDARY MATHEMATICS Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2017-18	3			
TP005 -SECONDARY MATHEMATICS Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2016-17	4			
TP005 -SECONDARY MATHEMATICS Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2015-16	12	48	12	100
TP006 -SECONDARY SCIENCE Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2017-18	7			
TP006 -SECONDARY SCIENCE Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2016-17	5			
TP006 -SECONDARY SCIENCE Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2015-16	8			
115 -SOCIAL STUDIES CST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	7			
005 -SOCIAL STUDIES CST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	2			

Assessment code - Assessment name Test Company Group	Number taking tests	Avg. scaled score	Number passing tests	Pass rate (%)
005 -SOCIAL STUDIES CST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2017-18	1			
115 -SOCIAL STUDIES CST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2017-18	16	546	16	100
115 -SOCIAL STUDIES CST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2016-17	9			
005 -SOCIAL STUDIES CST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2016-17	3			
005 -SOCIAL STUDIES CST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2015-16	12	248	12	100
129 -SPANISH CST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2017-18	2			
020 -SPANISH CST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2017-18	2			
020 -SPANISH CST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2015-16	3			
TP012 -SPECIAL EDUCATION Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	3			
TP012 -SPECIAL EDUCATION Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2017-18	28	47	28	100
TP012 -SPECIAL EDUCATION Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2016-17	27	53	27	100
TP012 -SPECIAL EDUCATION Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2015-16	12	49	12	100
060 -STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES CST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2016-17	1			
060 -STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES CST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2015-16	1			
060.1 -STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES CST.1 Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	14	522	9	64

Assessment code - Assessment name Test Company Group	Number taking tests	Avg. scaled score	Number passing tests	Pass rate (%)
060.1 -STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES CST.1 Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2017-18	32	543	28	88
060.1 -STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES CST.1 Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2016-17	28	543	27	96
060.1 -STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES CST.1 Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2015-16	12	546	12	100
TP015 -VISUAL ARTS Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2017-18	6			
TP015 -VISUAL ARTS Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2016-17	5			
TP015 -VISUAL ARTS Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2015-16	3			
079 -VISUAL ARTS CST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	2			
079 -VISUAL ARTS CST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2017-18	4			
079 -VISUAL ARTS CST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2016-17	4			
079 -VISUAL ARTS CST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2015-16	3			
TP020 -WORLD LANGUAGE Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2017-18	5			
TP020 -WORLD LANGUAGE Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2016-17	7			
TP020 -WORLD LANGUAGE Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2015-16	3			

## Summary Pass Rates

THIS PAGE INCLUDES:

>> [Summary Pass Rates](#)

On this page, review the summary pass rates. Please note that this page does not have an edit feature as the pass rates have already been through several rounds of verification. If you identify an error, please contact Westat's Title II Support Center and your testing company representative.

After reviewing, save the page using the floating save box at the bottom of the page.

## Summary Pass Rates

Group	Number taking tests	Number passing tests	Pass rate (%)
All program completers, 2017-18	209	196	94
All program completers, 2016-17	224	211	94
All program completers, 2015-16	183	164	90

## Low-Performing

THIS PAGE INCLUDES:

>> [Low-Performing](#)

On this page, review the questions regarding your program's approval/accreditation and whether your program has been designated as low performing by the state. If you submitted an IPRC last year, this section is pre-loaded from your prior year's report; please review and update as necessary.

After reviewing and updating as necessary, save the page using the floating save box at the bottom of the page.

## Low-Performing

Provide the following information about the approval or accreditation of your teacher preparation program. ([§205\(a\)\(1\)\(D\)](#), [§205\(a\)\(1\)\(E\)](#))

1. Is your teacher preparation program currently approved or accredited?

- Yes  
 No

If yes, please specify the organization(s) that approved or accredited your program:

- State  
 NCATE  
 TEAC  
 CAEP  
 Other specify:

Middle States

2. Is your teacher preparation program currently under a designation as "low-performing" by the state (as per section 207(a) of the HEA of 2008)?

- Yes  
 No

# Use of Technology

On this page, review the questions regarding your program's use of technology. If you submitted an IPRC last year, this section is pre-loaded from your prior year's report; please review and update as necessary.

After reviewing and updating as necessary, save the page using the floating save box at the bottom of the page.

THIS PAGE INCLUDES:

[>> Use of Technology](#)

## Use of Technology

1. Provide the following information about the use of technology in your teacher preparation program. Please note that choosing 'yes' indicates that your teacher preparation program would be able to provide evidence upon request. ([§205\(a\)\(1\)\(F\)](#))

Does your program prepare teachers to:

- a. integrate technology effectively into curricula and instruction

Yes  
 No

- b. use technology effectively to collect data to improve teaching and learning

Yes  
 No

- c. use technology effectively to manage data to improve teaching and learning

Yes  
 No

- d. use technology effectively to analyze data to improve teaching and learning

Yes  
 No

2. Provide a description of the evidence that your program uses to show that it prepares teachers to integrate technology effectively into curricula and instruction, and to use technology effectively to collect, manage, and analyze data in order to improve teaching and learning for the purpose of increasing student academic achievement. Include a description of the evidence your program uses to show that it prepares teachers to use the principles of universal design for learning, as applicable. Include planning activities and a timeline if any of the four elements listed above are not currently in place.

SPECIAL EDUCATION: All special education programs integrate technology into curricula and instruction. The special education programs include hybrid and/or on-line courses that not only actively engage students but model the strengths of technology in instruction. In addition to the use of Blackboard, Smartboard, Voice thread, Screencast-o-matic and other applications, faculty has been trained in the use of Universal Design for Learning (UDL) through CAST. Pre-service teachers are required to take SPED 277 Technology and Assistive Technology in Special Education, a course dedicated to the use of assistive technology in education and life skills, and the introduction of Universal Design for Learning and its application in curriculum and instruction (The course is given in the online format as well as face to face. Students interact and produce lesson planning that integrates high levels of technology. Other courses offered online or face to face include SPED 248, 241, 241, 216, 247.) SPED 245 Curriculum and Methods for Students With Diverse Learning Needs, a curriculum and methods course, requires the use of an UDL lesson plan and instruction with multiple means of representation, engagement, and expression, during a six week tutorial that pre-service teacher participate in. At that time all students work one on one with students employing UDL as the foundation of their teaching. Student s are required to incorporate interactive, web-based, and hands-on learning resources as well as assistive technology (as needed) into their instruction. Assessment courses provide pre-service teachers with the knowledge of using technology to collect, manage, and analyze data in order to look at student achievement. Now with the IDEIA mandate, Response to Intervention, SPED 242 Psychoeducational Assessment in Special Education (offered in online and face to face format) is expanding to include detailed work in



progress monitoring which will help pre-service teacher assess the effectiveness of their instruction. In SPED 247 Creating Effective Learning Communities: New Directions in Classroom Management students work extensively with functional behavioral analysis and application of that knowledge and skill in authentic case studies course focused on student assessment and developing a student profile to be used for instruction and the development of an individual education plan. PHYSICAL EDUCATION: The physical education curricula include completely online and hybrid courses, and individual courses make extensive use of the functions of the Blackboard LMS system (such as voice thread, discussion board, assignments, tests) as well as online resources provided with textbooks (online labs, videos, and other learning experiences). Specific examples of integration of technology into instruction in the following courses is listed below: MSPE 266 Introduction to Technology in Physical Education: Course learning experiences include: information retrieval, using the Internet for teaching, data management basics, desktop publishing basics, use of digital cameras. MSPE 270 Electronic Portfolio: Students create an electronic portfolio and present it to a panel of faculty members. PESP 13a Innovative Instructional Strategies for Teaching Physical Education: Students use digital video to analyze fundamental motor skills and present their findings in a PowerPoint presentation. Students use computer software to collect, analyze and present data for class lab experiences. PESP 53: Foundations of Physical Education & MSPE 256 Historical and Sociocultural Perspectives in Physical Activity and Sport: Students complete the following projects related to technology: create a web quest, evaluate websites, retrieve and create teaching resources, create brochures and newsletters, evaluate software. PESP 80 Programming Fitness Activities & MSPE 257 Implementing Health-Related Fitness and Wellness in School Curricula: Students learn to use technology for fitness: computer software, heart rate monitors. PESP 167 Principles of Perceptual Motor Learning: Students create a digital video of a skill demonstration/explanation. Students use computer software to collect, analyze and present data for class lab experiences. MSPE 233 Essentials of Motor Behavior: This is a completely online motor learning course. Student Teaching: Students must demonstrate and document the use of a variety of instructional technology in their teaching. They must also learn how to edit and upload video for the NYSED teacher performance assessment. Uses technology effectively to collect data to improve teaching and learning in the following courses: PESP 108 Assessment in Physical Education & MSPE 256 Historical and Sociocultural Perspectives in Physical Activity and Sport: Students learn how to assess students in all three domains, collect data, and use SPSS and Excel to manage and analyze data. PESP 80, MSPE 257: Students use the Physical Best fitness software to analyze and present data. BIO 106: Students learn to use technology to collect data related to exercise: blood pressure, heart rate, etc. Uses technology effectively to manage data to improve teaching and learning: PESP 80, MSPE 257: Students use the Physical Best fitness software to analyze and present data. Uses technology effectively to analyze data to improve teaching and learning: PESP 104 Methods and Materials for Teaching at the Secondary Level, MSPE 260 Planning and Implementing Secondary Physical Education Experiences, MSPE 256 Historical and Sociocultural Perspectives in Physical Activity and Sport: Students use the SOFIT system to systematically observe teaching and collect and analyze data. Universal Design for Learning: The physical education program also incorporates the use of Universal Design Principles in many of its courses. PESP 13a & 167 Motor Development and Motor Learning: The theoretical basis for the approach taken in these classes – Dynamic Systems Approach – emphasizes that motor skill development, learning, and performance are a result of the interactions between the individual, task and environment. The goal in teaching then becomes identification and manipulation of key constraints to guide learners in their search for the optimal movement solution to achieve the task goal. Inherent in this approach is the attention to the individual. In these classes students learn principles for arranging the learning environment to meet the needs of the learner. In PESP 13a attention is focused on individual, task, and environmental constraints affecting the development and performance of fundamental motor skills across the lifespan. In PESP 167 students focus on how physical skills are produced, controlled, and learned and about the effects of individual, task and environmental constraints those processes with a view toward maximizing the learning experience for each individual learner. The importance of providing multiple, flexible methods of presentation and expression is emphasized. Throughout the major physical education classes in the curriculum, students have a variety of assignments such as designing web quests, making and using visual aids (posters, graphic organizers, etc.), creating and using PowerPoint presentations and digital videos, as well as giving effective demonstrations and explanations. PESP 80: Programming Fitness Activities: Students learn to implement developmentally appropriate fitness programs, including consideration of assessment, content, and influence of gender, multicultural issues and socioeconomic factors on fitness. PESP 154/MSPE 242, PESP 103/MSPE 260: Elementary Content, Methods, and Secondary Methods classes emphasize the more practical aspects of creating learning experiences that meet individual needs. The use of differentiated instruction and creating, supervising, and managing safe, developmentally appropriate progressive practice activities is emphasized and assessed in practice teaching episodes both in class and in field experiences. Methods for promoting learning in the affective area (personal and social responsibility) is also emphasized. Special emphasis is given to the variety of experiences available through the use of adventure education (PESP 119). Students use video cameras as well as software to edit and compress videos of themselves teaching. Students submit an electronic notebook of work related to their field placement. PESP 108: Assessment in Physical Education: Students learn to use a variety of assessment strategies and instruments to enhance and provide accountability for the teaching-learning process in physical education. Emphasis is on the selection and use of developmentally appropriate assessment strategies and instruments, including computers and other technology congruent with physical activity learning goals. PESP 170/170A: Adapted Physical Education and Field Experience: This class is specifically focused on helping students to learn to provide effective movement learning experiences and fitness activities for people with disabilities. Students submit an electronic notebook of work related to their field placement. Student Teaching: In this capstone experience, students are expected to demonstrate competency in each of the UDL Principles. Evidenced for this is provided in the Student Teaching Handbook assignment and assessment descriptions as well as in the student teaching rubric. The physical education program requires teacher candidates take a course in adapted physical education that focuses on a wide variety of specific disabilities and curriculum and method applications across the range of disabilities. As part of this course they are required to complete a supervised 20-hour field experience in placements in which they will experience a variety of disabilities and programming. The course also covers legal responsibilities of teachers. As part of this course students also teach sample lessons to accommodate specific disabilities and develop IEPs. The programs include foundation courses which cover the legal responsibilities of teachers and the role of educators in the general education and special education process. Department of Teaching, Learning and Technology (TLT): The TLT department prepares teachers to integrate technology effectively into curricula and instruction in a variety of ways. Faculty model the use of various types of technology in the classroom during different courses including the use of such methods as Smart Board or Podcasting. Students then have the opportunity to use the technology in activities and presentations in the classroom. For example, in ELED 227 Elementary School Curriculum students select a theorist and then present the background and educational impact of the theorist. For their presentation they must use a form of technology like Power Point to make their presentation to their peers. In SED 151 The Secondary School Teacher and SED 264 General Methods of Teaching students present a motivational activity using different forms of technology to hook the class into the learning of the new content. In ELED 205 Language in the Curriculum, students go to interactive websites to add activities to their thematic units to help build the background knowledge of the students they will teach. Along with this, faculty present to students different methods of gathering data on the students they will be teaching by using technology. This might take the form of demonstrating what websites

are good resources for building and developing rubrics or how to create a survey that will provide information about students' interests. Along with this, faculty use the National Library of Virtual Images to make concepts come alive. This also helps build background knowledge for the diverse needs of the students. It should also be noted that teachers in our science classes like ELED 128 Interdisciplinary Perspectives on Teaching Mathematics and Science in Early Childhood and Elementary Curriculum and ELED 208 Interdisciplinary Perspectives on Teaching Mathematics and Science in Early Childhood and Elementary Curriculum use tools in the garden and chemicals in their classes to demonstrate concepts that they are learning. In special methods classes in SED 290-299 students do demonstration lessons using a variety of technologies. For example, in SED 294 Instructional Patterns for Social Studies students learn how to design memes and brief videos advertising political campaigns from the past using a variety of technologies and programs. Students then use this information in the classrooms that they are participating in their field experience. The principles of universal design are included in all of our classes. Our child development courses focus on the development of the child as an individual and the need to interact with and create the appropriate environment for the student as an individual. In method courses faculty have students create lessons that include differentiated instruction. The goal for these lessons is to meet the needs of the individual learner. These lessons will have a variety of tasks that students can choose from that will demonstrate what they have learned. Along with creating a classroom environment that suits the learning styles of students, teachers include choice as an important aspect of their lesson design. For example in ELED 205 Language in the Curriculum, students participate in literature circles and select the books they will read. This is done to differentiate by abilities and interests. A similar activity occurs in a joint project between literacy and social studies. In their classes of ELED 127 Integrated Teaching of Reading, Writing and Children's Literature: Elementary Education Grades 1-6 /ELED 136 Integrated Teaching of Emergent Reading, Writing, and Children's Literature: Early Childhood Education and ELED 125 Child Development in the School Setting, Home and Community /ELED 135 Interdisciplinary Teaching of Social Studies: Early Childhood, students select and then read biographies in literature circles. Students meet in groups that they select that are appropriate to their interests and needs. In addition, In the B.S. In Education, Dance Education, Program, students take the course Educational Technology in Dance Education, where they learn to use applications that support the deepening of learning in dance. Students learn to shoot and edit video; edit music with voiceovers; use Smartboard technology; and use and apply free applications such as Prezi, Pinterest, Fakebook, Glogster, and iPad apps to engage students. They also make their own blog to document their use of technology in dance education. In their dance methods courses, CT 119 Teaching Dance at the Elementary Level and CT 120 Teaching Dance at the Secondary Level , and in their student teaching, they then use these technologies to enhance their teaching.

# Teacher Training

THIS PAGE INCLUDES:

>> [Teacher Training](#)

On this page, review the questions about how your program trains general education teachers and special education teachers. For the purposes of these questions, general education teachers means those who are not specifically prepared as special education teachers. If you submitted an IPRC last year, this section is pre-loaded from your prior year's report; please review and update as necessary.

After reviewing and updating as necessary, save the page using the floating save box at the bottom of the page.

## Teacher Training

Provide the following information about your teacher preparation program. Please note that choosing 'yes' indicates that your teacher preparation program would be able to provide evidence upon request.  [\(§205\(a\)\(1\)\(G\)\)](#)

### 1. Does your program prepare general education teachers to:

#### a. teach students with disabilities effectively

- Yes  
 No

#### b. participate as a member of individualized education program teams

- Yes  
 No

#### c. teach students who are limited English proficient effectively

- Yes  
 No

### 2. Provide a description of the evidence your program uses to show that it prepares general education teachers to teach students with disabilities effectively, including training related to participation as a member of individualized education program teams, as defined in section 614(d)(1)(B) of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act, and to effectively teach students who are limited English proficient. Include planning activities and a timeline if any of the three elements listed above are not currently in place.

Hofstra offers two programmatic options that meet the needs of those general education students who seek to develop expertise in teaching English Language Learners in their classrooms. First, the MS in Education, TESOL programs has an in-service track. In-service MS in Education candidates hold undergraduate degrees and prior certifications in a range of areas, such as childhood education, special education and teaching special subjects. Additionally, Hofstra offers a post-masters certificate of advanced study (CAS), TESOL program. CAS TESOL candidates hold graduate degrees and teaching certifications across the range of educational domains. The primary goal of our program is to provide a comprehensive educational program for all students. This requires careful consideration because we want to design effective curriculum that helps to avoid classifying a child. Our goal is to ensure that all students have effective instruction. Therefore, RTI is examined in our instructional program. This model moves from remediation to intervention. We want our students to understand how a child is responding to strategies and instruction and when intervention is needed. Our program helps teachers recognize what techniques can be used to support the struggling learner. The goal is always assessment to provide appropriate instruction. In addition, our program provides for teaching students with disabilities and limited English learners through the use of differentiated instruction. Differentiation instruction in our program refers to differentiating the content, process, and / or product. This is achieved by the assessment of students and the use of flexible grouping which reflects students' readiness, interest and learning profile. In addition, centers are used to further facilitate differentiated activities for all students. We have a graduate program devoted to supporting students ELL learners. Additionally, our curriculum is designed to support all students' cultural differences. Our literature is multicultural. This point of view cuts across all subject areas, and addresses the histories and experiences of people who have been left out of the curriculum. Its purpose is to help us deal equitably with all the cultural and racial differences that you find in the human family. It is also a perspective that allows us to get at explanations for why things are the way they are in terms of power relationships, in terms of equality issues. The TESOL immigration studies courses examine sociological and ethnographic studies of immigrant communities and interpret research data for their implications for instruction. Further, TESOL linguistic classes investigate findings in the areas of

Second Language Acquisition with the special focus on the development constraints and opportunities of L2 learning. These linguistic classes draw the link between research findings and classroom practice., placing special emphasis on the findings in neurolinguistics to prepare teacher learners engage in brain-compatible pedagogy. Finally, TESOL pedagogy classes seek to push TESOL instruction into the farthest reaches of ELLs' zones of proximal development and to prepare teacher learners to develop rigorous, standards-based instruction that enables ELLs have enriching and meaningful academic experiences. The physical education program requires teacher candidates take a courses in adapted physical education that focuses on a wide variety of specific disabilities and curriculum and method applications across the range of disabilities. As part of this course they are required to complete a supervised 15-hour field experience in placements in which they will experience a variety of disabilities and programming. The course also covers legal responsibilities of teachers. As part of this course students also teach sample lessons to accommodate specific disabilities and develop IEPs. The programs include foundation courses which cover the legal responsibilities of teachers and the role of educators in the general education and special education process.

**3. Does your program prepare special education teachers to:**

**a. teach students with disabilities effectively**

- Yes
- No
- Program does not prepare special education teachers

**b. participate as a member of individualized education program teams**

- Yes
- No
- Program does not prepare special education teachers

**c. teach students who are limited English proficient effectively**

- Yes
- No
- Program does not prepare special education teachers

**4. Provide a description of the evidence your program uses to show that it prepares special education teachers to teach students with disabilities effectively, including training related to participation as a member of individualized education program teams, as defined in section 614(d)(1)(B) of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act, and to effectively teach students who are limited English proficient. Include planning activities and a timeline if any of the three elements listed above are not currently in place.**

The Special Education Programs (Masters in Special Education, Masters in Early Childhood Special Education, Masters in Inclusive Elementary Special Education, Masters in Inclusive Secondary Education, Masters in Inclusive Early Childhood Education, Master in Special Education and Literacy, Masters in Secondary Special Education Generalist, Masters in Students with Disabilities 7-12 Generalist, w/extension in secondary education, Advanced Certificate in Early Childhood Special Education, Advanced Certificate in Teaching Students with Severe and Multiple Disabilities and Advanced Certification Childhood Special Education) all include coursework that specifically addresses teaching students with disabilities effectively, participating as a member of individualized education program teams, and teaching students who have limited English proficiency. Most courses include field experiences that require pre-service teachers to work with students, applying coursework to practice. Pre-service teachers at the childhood and secondary levels take courses on specific disabilities and curriculum and method applications across the range of disabilities. Pre-service teachers at the early childhood level take courses across the developmental domains, and in curriculum and methods. All programs include foundation courses which cover the legal responsibilities of teachers and the role of educators in the general education and special education process. This knowledge is further developed in curriculum and methods courses and issue courses in which students develop IEPs from case studies, and discuss the specific roles and responsibilities of all members of the team. Cultural competency and culturally responsive instruction as well as the needs of English language learners are part of all courses and discussed in particular detail in the required course concerning building relationships with parents of children with disabilities. During the summer of 2009 this course was revised to reflect more in-depth instruction of working with English language learners. Faculty have been trained in Universal Design for Learning which is being used in courses both as a teaching model and a pedagogical approach. In employing UDL for instruction students focus on making curriculum accessible to as many students as possible by removing barriers. At times those barriers include the English language and therefore require that students consider strategies and representation, engagement, and expression which will enable English Language Learners to access curriculum with the appropriate instruction. It is a knowledge base that we are in the process of developing in all courses. Currently the special education program is revising and developing programs to meet new certification requirements including early childhood/childhood dual program, BCBA in autism, and adaptive physical education. Efforts are underway to develop other inclusive education programs in teacher education.



## Contextual Information

On this page, review the contextual information about your program. If you submitted an IPRC last year, this section is pre-loaded from your prior year's report; please review and update as necessary.

After reviewing and updating as necessary, save the page using the floating save box at the bottom of the page.

THIS PAGE INCLUDES:

>> [Contextual Information](#)

## Contextual Information

Please use this space to provide any additional information that describes your teacher preparation program(s). You may also attach information to this report card (see below). The U.S. Department of Education is especially interested in any evaluation plans or interim or final reports that may be available.

(1) The teacher education and educational leadership programs of the School of Education are accredited under the Teacher Education Accreditation Council (TEAC) Quality Principles through the CAEP Accreditation System, for a period of seven years, from October 28, 2014 to October 28, 2021. In spring 2019 the School of Education (SOE) switched accrediting agencies and is now a member of the Association for Advancing Quality in Educator Preparation (AAQEP). Due to this change, the next site visit for the SOE will be in the spring of 2024. Hofstra University is currently pursuing accreditation of its educator preparation programs by AAQEP. Pursuant to §52.21 of the Regulations of the Commissioner of Education, the educator preparation programs offered by Hofstra University will be continuously accredited for purposes of meeting the New York State requirement that all such programs maintain continuous accreditation. (2) November 20, 2014, the Middle States Commission on Higher Education accepted our Periodic Review Report (PRR), reaffirmed Hofstra's accreditation, and commended the University on the quality of the Periodic Review Report and process. In the Report to the Faculty, Administration, Trustees, Students of Hofstra University, dated 7/29/2014, the PRR review team indicated: "This Periodic Review Report is exceptional, but even more importantly, presents a University that is exemplary in its compliance with the Standards of Excellence. In particular, the reviewers commend Hofstra's accomplishments in assessment; given that assessment is the most common source of recommendations and follow-up obligations for Universities under review, we suggest that Middle States officially recognize Hofstra's assessment program as exemplary for the benefit of other institutions seeking to calibrate their assessment activity." Further, specifically regarding the University's assessment processes and results: "Hofstra's assessment operation demonstrates both breadth and depth. It boasts two cooperating offices to manage assessment, an Office of Accreditation and Outcomes Assessment and an Office of Institutional Research and Assessment, but also shows that an assessment sensibility pervades all academic units and administrative functions. Extended examples include an assessment in Oral Communication that started with a curricular map, proceeded to a resolution by faculty to enhance the range of courses exposing students to oral communication, and a validating follow-up assessment. Additional examples in Chemistry, Psychology, Languages, Writing, Information Literacy, and other areas included strong assessment programs in multiple areas that represent frequent challenges, and a variety of methodologies, from curricular maps to the CLA to embedded questions to national disciplinary tests." The reviewers found both the report and the culture of assessment being reported on to be genuinely exemplary, and we commend Hofstra for its excellence in this challenging area. Given the high percentage of accredited colleges that receive recommendations about assessment, we believe that Middle States should consider identifying colleges that have an assessment program worth modeling. Hofstra's program would be an important selection for such identification."

## Supporting Files

No files have been provided.

You may upload files to be included with your report card. You should only upload PDF or Microsoft Word or Excel files. These files will be listed as links in your report card. Upload files in the order that you'd like them to appear.

# Report Card Certification

Please make sure your entire report card is complete and accurate before completing this section. Once your report card is certified you will not be able to edit your data.

## Enrollment Confirmation

Total Title II enrollment from Section I: Program Information, Enrollment is **366**.

Number of program completers from Section I: Program Information, Program Completers is **296**.

For a total enrollment of **662**.

## Certification of submission

I certify that, to the best of my knowledge, the information in this report is accurate and complete and conforms to the definitions and instructions used in the *Higher Education Opportunity Act, Title II: Reporting Reference and User Manual*.

NAME OF RESPONSIBLE REPRESENTATIVE FOR TEACHER PREPARATION PROGRAM:

Benjamin Rifkin

TITLE:

Dean of Hofstra College Liberal Arts and Sciences

## Certification of review of submission

I certify that, to the best of my knowledge, the information in this report is accurate and complete and conforms to the definitions and instructions used in the *Higher Education Opportunity Act, Title II: Reporting Reference and User Manual*.

NAME OF REVIEWER:

Stacy Zalewski

TITLE:

Senior Associate Dean School of Education

## Comparison with Last Year

Item	Last Year	This Year	Change
<a href="#">Total Enrollment</a>	462	366	-20.78%
<a href="#">Male Enrollment</a>	109	93	-14.68%
<a href="#">Female Enrollment</a>	353	273	-22.66%
<a href="#">Hispanic/Latino Enrollment</a>	58	53	-8.62%
<a href="#">American Indian or Alaska Native Enrollment</a>	1	1	0.00%
<a href="#">Asian Enrollment</a>	24	19	-20.83%
<a href="#">Black or African American Enrollment</a>	31	17	-45.16%
<a href="#">Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander Enrollment</a>	4	3	-25.00%

Item	Last Year	This Year	Change
<a href="#">White Enrollment</a>	331	253	-23.56%
<a href="#">Two or more races Enrollment</a>	1	3	200.00%
<a href="#">Average number of clock hours required prior to student teaching</a>	100	100	0.00%
<a href="#">Average number of clock hours required for student teaching</a>	450	450	0.00%
<a href="#">Average number of clock hours required for mentoring</a>	0	0	
<a href="#">Number of full-time equivalent faculty in supervised clinical experience during this academic year</a>	9	7	-22.22%
<a href="#">Number of adjunct faculty in supervised clinical experience during this academic year (IHE and PreK-12 staff)</a>	47	42	-10.64%
<a href="#">Number of students in supervised clinical experience during this academic year</a>	340	422	24.12%
<a href="#">Total completers for current academic year</a>	232	296	27.59%
<a href="#">Total completers for prior academic year</a>	289	232	-19.72%
<a href="#">Total completers for second prior academic year</a>	309	289	-6.47%