


2022 TITLE II REPORTS

National Teacher Preparation Data





Institution Information
Key terms in this section are listed below. Click on the link to view the definition(s) in the glossary.
Academic year
• IPEDS ID
PEDS ID
THIS INSTITUTION HAS NO IPEDS ID
F NO IPEDS ID, PLEASE PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION
ADDRESS
129 Hagedom Hall
119 Hofstra University
CITY
Hempstead
Tiempacau
STATE
New York
ZIP
11549
SALUTATION
Ms.

FIRST NAME

Stacy

LAST NAME

Zalewski			
PHONE			
(516) 463	5-5745		

EMAIL

stacy.l.zalewski@hofstra.edu

SECTION I: PROGRAM INFORMATION

List of Programs

List each program for an initial teaching credential below and indicate whether it is offered at the Undergraduate level (UG), Postgraduate level (PG), or both. (§205(a)(C))

Key terms in this section are listed below. Click on the link to view the definition(s) in the glossary.

• Teacher Preparation Program

IIIC.	D^{Λ}	\sim \sim	INCI	ΙБ	rc.

>> List of Programs

List of Programs

Note: This section is preloaded with the list of programs reported in the prior year's IPRC.

CIP Code	Teacher Preparation Programs	UG, PG, or Both	Update
13.01	General Education (alternative programs/programs providing pedagogy only)	PG	

Total number of teacher preparation programs:

2

SECTION I: PROGRAM INFORMATION

Program Requirements

Check the elements required for admission (entry) into and completion (exit) from the program. If programs are offered at the undergraduate level and postgraduate level, complete the table for both types of programs. (§205(a)(1)(C)(i))

Key terms in this section are listed below. Click on the link to view the definition(s) in the glossary.

- Full-time equivalent faculty supervising clinical experience
- Adjunct faculty supervising clinical experience
- Cooperating Teachers/PreK-12 Staff Supervising Clinical Experience
- Supervised clinical experience

THIS PAGE INCLUDES:

- >> Undergraduate Requirements
- >> Postgraduate Requirements
- >> Supervised Clinical Experience

Undergraduate Requirements

Note: This section is preloaded from the prior year's IPRC.

- 1. Are there initial teacher certification programs at the undergraduate level?
 - Yes
 - No

If yes, for each element listed below, indicate if it is required for admission into or exit from any of your teacher preparation program(s) at the undergraduate level. If no, leave the table below blank (or <u>clear responses already entered</u>) then click save at the bottom of the page.

Element	Admission	Completion
Element	Aumission	Completion
Transcript	Yes No	Yes No
Fingerprint check	Yes No	Yes No
Background check	Yes No	Yes No
Minimum number of courses/credits/semester hours completed	Yes No	Yes No
Minimum GPA	Yes No	Yes No
Minimum GPA in content area coursework	Yes No	Yes No
Minimum GPA in professional education coursework	Yes No	Yes No
Minimum ACT score	Yes No	Yes No
Minimum SAT score	Yes No	Yes No
Minimum basic skills test score	Yes No	Yes No
Subject area/academic content test or other subject matter verification	Yes No	Yes No
Recommendation(s)	Yes No	Yes No

Essay or personal statement	Yes No	Yes No
Interview	Yes No	Yes No
Other Specify:	Yes No	Yes No
What is the minimum GPA required for admission into the program? (Leave blank i above.)	f you indicated that a minimum GP	A is not required in the table
 What is the minimum GPA required for completing the program? (Leave blank if yo above.) 	u indicated that a minimum GPA is	s not required in the table
4. Please provide any additional information about the information provided above:		
Postgraduate Requirements		
Note: This section is preloaded from the prior year's IPRC.		
1. Are there initial teacher certification programs at the postgraduate level?		
Yes No		
If yes, for each element listed below, indicate if it is required for admission into or exit from no, leave the table below blank (or <u>clear responses already entered</u>) then click save at		gram(s) at the postgraduate level. If
Element	Admission	Completion
Transcript	Yes No	Yes No

Element	Admission	Completion
Transcript	• Yes No	Yes No
Fingerprint check	Yes No	Yes No
Background check	Yes No	Yes No
Minimum number of courses/credits/semester hours completed	• Yes No	Yes No
Minimum GPA	• Yes No	Yes No
Minimum GPA in content area coursework	• Yes No	Yes No
Minimum GPA in professional education coursework	Yes No	Yes No
Minimum ACT score	Yes No	Yes No
Minimum SAT score	Yes No	Yes No
Minimum basic skills test score	Yes No	Yes No
Subject area/academic content test or other subject matter verification	• Yes No	• Yes No

Recommendation(s)	• Yes No	Yes No
Essay or personal statement	• Yes No	Yes No
Interview	• Yes No	Yes No
Other Specify: Written agreement with school district for a teaching position for three years,	two Yes No	Yes No
2. What is the minimum GPA required for admission into the program? (Leave above.)	blank if you indicated that a minimu	um GPA is not required in the table
3		
3. What is the minimum GPA required for completing the program? (Leave bla above.)	ank if you indicated that a minimum	GPA is not required in the table
4. Please provide any additional information about the information provided at a student was accepted into the program from the 2020-2021 academic year.		
Supervised Clinical Experience Note: The clinical experience requirements in this section are preloaded from the preparticipants each year.	rior year's IPRC. Teacher preparation p	providers will enter the number of
Provide the following information about supervised clinical experience in 20	20-21. <u>(§205(a)(1)(C)(iii), §205(a)(1)(C</u>	2)(iv))
Are there programs with student teaching models? Yes No If yes, provide the next two responses. If no, leave them blank.		
Programs with student teaching models (most traditional programs)		
Number of clock hours of supervised clinical experience required prior to student teaching	100	
Number of clock hours required for student teaching	100	
Are there programs in which candidates are the teacher of record? Yes No If yes, provide the next two responses. If no, leave them blank.		

Programs in which candidates are the teacher of record in a classroom during the program (many alternative programs)

to teaching as the teacher of record in a classroom	
Years required of teaching as the teacher of record in a classroom	
All Programs	
Number of full-time equivalent faculty supervising clinical experience during this academic year (IHE staff)	0
Optional tool for automatically calculating full-time equivalent faculty in the system	
Number of adjunct faculty supervising clinical experience during this academic year (IHE staff)	0
Number of cooperating teachers/K-12 staff supervising clinical experience during this academic year	0

Please provide any additional information about or descriptions of the supervised clinical experiences:

Number of clock hours of supervised clinical experience required prior

Number of students in supervised clinical experience during this

academic year

As a transitional C program, candidates are mentored throughout the program while teaching in the schools. The 450+ pertains to each semester for the first two years in the program. There were no students in enrolled for the 20-21 reporting year.

0

SECTION I: PROGRAM INFORMATION

Enrollment and Program Completers

In each of the following categories, provide the total number of individuals enrolled in teacher preparation programs for an initial teaching credential and the subset of individuals enrolled who also completed the program during the academic year.

(§205(a)(1)(C)(ii))

Key terms in this section are listed below. Click on the link to view the definition(s) in the glossary.

- Enrolled Student
- Program Completer

THIS PAGE INCLUDES:

>> Enrollment and Program Completers

Enrollment	and F	rogram	Comp	leters
------------	-------	--------	------	--------

2020-21 Total	
Total Number of Individuals Enrolled	1
Subset of Program Completers	0

Gender	Total Enrolled	Subset of Program Completers
Male	1	0
Female	0	0
Non-Binary/Other	0	0
No Gender Reported	0	0
Race/Ethnicity	Total Enrolled	Subset of Program Completers
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0
American Indian or Alaska Native Asian	0	0
Asian	0	0
Asian Black or African American	0	0

Two or more races	0	0
No Race/Ethnicity Reported	0	0

Teachers Prepared

On this page, enter the number of program completers by the subject area in which they were prepared to teach, and by their academic majors. Note that an individual can be counted in more than one academic major and subject area. For example, if an individual is prepared to teach Elementary Education and Mathematics, that individual should be counted in both subject areas. If no individuals were prepared in a particular academic major or subject area, you may leave the cell blank. Please use the "Other" category sparingly, if there is no similar subject area or academic major listed. In these cases, you should use the text box to describe the subject area(s) and/or the academic major(s) counted in the "Other" category.

If your IHE offers both traditional and alternative programs, be sure to enter the program completers in the appropriate reports. For the traditional report, provide only the program completers in traditional programs within the IHE. For the alternative report, provide only the program completers for the alternative programs within the IHE.

After entering the teachers prepared data, save the page using the floating save box at the bottom of the page.

Key terms in this section are listed below. Click on the link to view the definition(s) in the glossary.

Academic Major

THIS PAGE INCLUDES:

- >> Teachers Prepared by Subject Area
- >> Teachers Prepared by Academic Major

Teachers Prepared by Subject Area

Please provide the number of teachers prepared by subject area for academic year 2020-21.

For the purposes of this section, number prepared means the number of program completers. "Subject area" refers to the subject area(s) an individual has been prepared to teach. An individual can be counted in more than one subject area. If no individuals were prepared in a particular subject area, please leave that cell blank. (§205(b)(1)(H))

What are CIP Codes?

No teachers prepared in academic year 2020-21

If your program has no teachers prepared, check the box above and leave the table below blank (or clear responses already entered).

What are CIP codes? The Classification of Instructional Programs (CIP) provides a taxonomic scheme that supports the accurate tracking and reporting of fields of study and program completions activity. CIP was originally developed by the U.S. Department of Education's National Center for Education Statistics (NCES) in 1980, with revisions occurring in 1985, 1990, and 2000 (https://nces.ed.gov/ipeds/cipcode/Default.aspx?y=55).

CIP Code	Subject Area	Number Prepared
13.10	Teacher Education - Special Education	

13.1202	Teacher Education - Elementary Education	
13.1203	Teacher Education - Junior High/Intermediate/Middle School Education	
13.1210	Teacher Education - Early Childhood Education	
13.1301	Teacher Education - Agriculture	
13.1302	Teacher Education - Art	
13.1303	Teacher Education - Business	
13.1305	Teacher Education - English/Language Arts	
13.1306	Teacher Education - Foreign Language	
13.1307	Teacher Education - Health	
13.1308	Teacher Education - Family and Consumer Sciences/Home Economics	
13.1309	Teacher Education - Technology Teacher Education/Industrial Arts	
13.1311	Teacher Education - Mathematics	
13.1312	Teacher Education - Music	
13.1314	Teacher Education - Physical Education and Coaching	
13.1315	Teacher Education - Reading	
13.1316	Teacher Education - Science Teacher Education/General Science	
13.1317	Teacher Education - Social Science	
13.1318	Teacher Education - Social Studies	
13.1320	Teacher Education - Trade and Industrial	
13.1321	Teacher Education - Computer Science	
13.1322	Teacher Education - Biology	1
13.1323	Teacher Education - Chemistry	
13.1324	Teacher Education - Drama and Dance	
13.1328	Teacher Education - History	
13.1329	Teacher Education - Physics	

13.1331	Teacher Education - Speech	
13.1337	Teacher Education - Earth Science	
13.14	Teacher Education - English as a Second Language	
13.99	Education - Other Specify:	

Teachers Prepared by Academic Major

Please provide the number of teachers prepared by academic major for academic year 2020-21. For the purposes of this section, number prepared means the number of program completers. "Academic major" refers to the actual major(s) declared by the program completer. An individual can be counted in more than one academic major. If no individuals were prepared in a particular academic major, please leave that cell blank. (§205(b)(1)(H))

Please note that the list of majors includes several "Teacher Education" majors, as well as several noneducation majors. Please use care in entering your majors to ensure education-specific majors and non-education majors are counted correctly. For example, if an individual majored in Chemistry, that individual should be counted in the "Chemistry" academic major category rather than the "Teacher Education—Chemistry" category.

What are CIP Codes?

Does	this teacher	preparation	nrovider	grant de	arees II	non co	ompletion (of its	nrograms?
D063	tiliə teacilei	preparation	provider	grant uc	grees u	DOIL CO	Jilipieuoii (ປາ ແ ວ	programs:

Yes

● No

No teachers prepared in academic year 2020-21

If this provider does not grant participants a degree upon completion, or has no teachers prepared, leave the table below blank (or **clear responses already entered**).

CIP Code	Academic Major	Number Prepared
13.10	Teacher Education - Special Education	
13.1202	Teacher Education - Elementary Education	
13.1203	Teacher Education - Junior High/Intermediate/Middle School Education	
13.1210	Teacher Education - Early Childhood Education	
13.1301	Teacher Education - Agriculture	
13.1302	Teacher Education - Art	
13.1303	Teacher Education - Business	
13.1305	Teacher Education - English/Language Arts	
13.1306	Teacher Education - Foreign Language	

13.1307	Teacher Education - Health	
13.1308	Teacher Education - Family and Consumer Sciences/Home Economics	
13.1309	Teacher Education - Technology Teacher Education/Industrial Arts	
13.1311	Teacher Education - Mathematics	
13.1312	Teacher Education - Music	
13.1314	Teacher Education - Physical Education and Coaching	
13.1315	Teacher Education - Reading	
13.1316	Teacher Education - General Science	
13.1317	Teacher Education - Social Science	
13.1318	Teacher Education - Social Studies	
13.1320	Teacher Education - Trade and Industrial	
13.1321	Teacher Education - Computer Science	
13.1322	Teacher Education - Biology	
13.1323	Teacher Education - Chemistry	
13.1324	Teacher Education - Drama and Dance	
13.1328	Teacher Education - History	
13.1329	Teacher Education - Physics	
13.1331	Teacher Education - Speech	
13.1337	Teacher Education - Earth Science	
13.14	Teacher Education - English as a Second Language	
13.99	Education - Other Specify:	
01	Agriculture	
03	Natural Resources and Conservation	
05	Area, Ethnic, Cultural, and Gender Studies	

09	Communication or Journalism	
11	Computer and Information Sciences	
12	Personal and Culinary Services	
14	Engineering	
16	Foreign Languages, Literatures, and Linguistics	
19	Family and Consumer Sciences/Human Sciences	
21	Technology Education/Industrial Arts	
22	Legal Professions and Studies	
23	English Language/Literature	
24	Liberal Arts/Humanities	
25	Library Science	
26	Biological and Biomedical Sciences	
27	Mathematics and Statistics	
30	Multi/Interdisciplinary Studies	
38	Philosophy and Religious Studies	
40	Physical Sciences	
41	Science Technologies/Technicians	
42	Psychology	
44	Public Administration and Social Service Professions	
45	Social Sciences	
46	Construction	
47	Mechanic and Repair Technologies	
50	Visual and Performing Arts	
51	Health Professions and Related Clinical Sciences	
52	Business/Management/Marketing	

54	History	
99	Other Specify:	

SECTION I: PROGRAM INFORMATION

Program Assurances

Respond to the following assurances. Teacher preparation programs should be prepared to provide documentation and evidence, when requested, to support the following assurances. (§205(a)(1)(A)(iii); §206(b))

				ES:

>> Program Assurances

Program Assurances

Note: This section is	preloaded from	the prior y	/ear's IPRC.
-----------------------	----------------	-------------	--------------

1. Program preparation responds to the identified needs of the local educational agencies or States where the program completers are likely to tea based on past hiring and recruitment trends.	ch,
Yes No	
Preparation is closely linked with the needs of schools and the instructional decisions new teachers face in the classroom. Yes No	
Prospective special education teachers are prepared in core academic subjects and to instruct in core academic subjects. Yes No Program does not prepare special education teachers	

5. Prospective general education teachers are prepared to provide instruction to limited English proficient students.

4. Prospective general education teachers are prepared to provide instruction to students with disabilities.

Yes

Yes No

- No
- 6. Prospective general education teachers are prepared to provide instruction to students from low-income families.
 - Yes
 - No
- 7. Prospective teachers are prepared to effectively teach in urban and rural schools, as applicable.
 - Yes
 - No
- 8. Describe your institution's most successful strategies in meeting the assurances listed above:

Clinical placements are tied to coursework that prepares candidates to create culturally relevant learning experiences. Coursework throughout the program emphasizes the connections and interdependence of teaching practice with social, cultural, philosophical and historical influence. Topics include multicultural, inclusion, gender and literacy issues; funding, school choice, charter schools, the middle school philosophy; and the development of curriculum and pedagogies appropriate for inner city, suburban, private and public schools. Ways in which writing, as well as reading and other language processes, can become tools with which adolescents and young adults negotiate socially imposed linguistic borders based on class, race, ethnicity, previous educational attainment and/or expectation, peer regard, gender, country of origin, etc. are examined. Our expectation is that candidates will demonstrate the ability to differentiate instruction for all learners including limited English proficient learners, students from low income families and

students with disabilities. All prospective general education teachers are required to complete coursework and clinical placements in a special education setting. Coursework provides the general education teacher with current, effective techniques in inclusive education for children and adolescents within the full range of exceptionalities across all academic levels.	

Annual Goals: Mathematics

Each institution of higher education (IHE) that conducts a traditional teacher preparation program (including programs that offer any ongoing professional development programs) or alternative route teacher preparation program, and that enrolls students receiving Federal assistance under this Act, shall set annual quantifiable goals for increasing the number of prospective teachers trained in teacher shortage areas designated by the Secretary or by the state educational agency, including mathematics, science, special education, and instruction of limited English proficient students.

(§205(a)(1) (A)(i), §205(a)(1)(A)(ii), §206(a))

Note: Last year's goal and the current year's goal are preloaded from the prior year's IPRC.

Key terms in this section are listed below. Click on the link to view the definition(s) in the glossary.

• Quantifiable Goals

THIS PAGE INCLUDES:

- >> Report Progress on Last Year's Goal (2020-21)
- >> Review Current Year's Goal (2021-22)
- >> Set Next Year's Goal (2022-23)

1. Did your program prepare teachers in mathematics in 2020-21?

If no, leave remaining questions for 2020-21 blank (or <u>clear responses already entered</u>).

Yes

No

2. Describe your goal.

3. Did your program meet the goal?

Yes

No

4. Description of strategies used to achieve goal, if applicable:

- 5. Description of steps to improve performance in meeting goal or lessons learned in meeting goal, if applicable:
- 6. Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below:

Review Current Year's Goal (2021-22)

7. Is your program preparing teachers in mathematics in 2021-22? If no, leave the next question blank.

Yes No

8. Describe your goal.

Set Next Year's Goal (2022-23)

9. Will your program prepare teachers in mathematics in 2022-23? If no, leave the next question blank.



10. Describe your goal.

Annual Goals: Science

Each institution of higher education (IHE) that conducts a traditional teacher preparation program (including programs that offer any ongoing professional development programs) or alternative route teacher preparation program, and that enrolls students receiving Federal assistance under this Act, shall set annual quantifiable goals for increasing the number of prospective teachers trained in teacher shortage areas designated by the Secretary or by the state educational agency, including mathematics, science, special education, and instruction of limited English proficient students.

(§205(a)(1) (A)(i), §205(a)(1)(A)(ii), §206(a))

Note: Last year's goal and the current year's goal are preloaded from the prior year's IPRC.

Key terms in this section are listed below. Click on the link to view the definition(s) in the glossary.

Quantifiable Goals

THIS PAGE INCLUDES:

- >> Report Progress on Last Year's Goal (2020-21)
- >> Review Current Year's Goal (2021-22)
- >> Set Next Year's Goal (2022-23)

Report Progress on Last Year's Goal (2020-21)

1. Did your program prepare teachers in science in 2020-21?

If no, leave remaining questions for 2020-21 blank (or <u>clear responses already entered</u>).

Yes

No

2. Describe your goal.

1 student was enrolled in the alternative certification secondary ed/ Biology 7-12 program.

- 3. Did your program meet the goal?
 - Yes

No

- 4. Description of strategies used to achieve goal, if applicable:
- 5. Description of steps to improve performance in meeting goal or lessons learned in meeting goal, if applicable:
- 6. Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below:

Review Current Year's Goal (2021-22)

7. Is your program preparing teachers in science in 2021-22? If no, leave the next question blank.



8. Describe your goal.

1 student is enrolled in the alternative certification secondary ed/ Biology 7-12 program.

Set Next Year's Goal (2022-23)

9. Will your program prepare teachers in science in 2022-23? If no, leave the next question blank.



10. Describe your goal.

Annual Goals: Special Education

Each institution of higher education (IHE) that conducts a traditional teacher preparation program (including programs that offer any ongoing professional development programs) or alternative route teacher preparation program, and that enrolls students receiving Federal assistance under this Act, shall set annual quantifiable goals for increasing the number of prospective teachers trained in teacher shortage areas designated by the Secretary or by the state educational agency, including mathematics, science, special education, and instruction of limited English proficient students.

(§205(a)(1) (A)(i), §205(a)(1)(A)(ii), §206(a))

Note: Last year's goal and the current year's goal are preloaded from the prior year's IPRC.

Key terms in this section are listed below. Click on the link to view the definition(s) in the glossary.

Quantifiable Goals

THIS PAGE INCLUDES:

- >> Report Progress on Last Year's Goal (2020-21)
- >> Review Current Year's Goal (2021-22)
- >> Set Next Year's Goal (2022-23)

Report Progress on	Last Year's Goa	I (2020-21)
--------------------	-----------------	-------------

1. Did your program prepare teachers in special education in 2020-21?

If no, leave remaining questions for 2020-21 blank (or <u>clear responses already entered</u>).

Yes

No

2. Describe your goal.

3. Did your program meet the goal?

Yes

No

4. Description of strategies used to achieve goal, if applicable:

5. Description of steps to improve performance in meeting goal or lessons learned in meeting goal, if applicable:

6. Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below:

Review Current Year's Goal (2021-22)

7. Is your program preparing teachers in special education in 2021-22? If no, leave the next question blank.

Yes No

8. Describe your goal.

Set Next Year's Goal (2022-23)

9. Will your program prepare teachers in special education in 2022-23? If no, leave the next question blank.



10. Describe your goal.

SECTION II: ANNUAL GOALS

Annual Goals: Instruction of Limited English Proficient Students

Each institution of higher education (IHE) that conducts a traditional teacher preparation program (including programs that offer any ongoing professional development programs) or alternative route teacher preparation program, and that enrolls students receiving Federal assistance under this Act, shall set annual quantifiable goals for increasing the number of prospective teachers trained in teacher shortage areas designated by the Secretary or by the state educational agency, including mathematics, science, special education, and instruction of limited English proficient students. (\$205(a)(1) (A)(i), \$205(a)(1)(A)(ii), \$206(a))

Note: Last year's goal and the current year's goal are preloaded from the prior year's IPRC.

Key terms in this section are listed below. Click on the link to view the definition(s) in the glossary.

Quantifiable Goals

THIS PAGE INCLUDES:

- >> Report Progress on Last Year's Goal (2020-21)
- >> Review Current Year's Goal (2021-22)
- >> Set Next Year's Goal (2022-23)

Report Progress on	Last Year's Go	al (2020-21)
--------------------	----------------	--------------

Did your program prepare teachers in instruction of limited English proficient students in 2020-21?
 If no, leave remaining questions for 2020-21 blank (or <u>clear responses already entered</u>).

Yes No

2. Describe your goal.

3. Did your program meet the goal?

Yes No

4. Description of strategies used to achieve goal, if applicable:

- 5. Description of steps to improve performance in meeting goal or lessons learned in meeting goal, if applicable:
- 6. Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below:

Review Current Year's Goal (2021-22) 7. Is your program preparing teachers in instruction of limited English proficient students in 2021-22? If no, leave the next question blank. Yes No 8. Describe your goal. Set Next Year's Goal (2022-23) 9. Will your program prepare teachers in instruction of limited English proficient students in 2022-23? If no, leave the next question blank.

10. Describe your goal.

Yes No

Assessment Pass Rates

The pass rates table is populated from files provided by the testing company or state. The table provides information on the performance of the students in your teacher preparation program on each teacher credential assessment used by your state. In cases where a student has taken a given assessment more than once, the highest score on that test is used. In the case of a teacher preparation program with fewer than 10 scores reported on any single initial teacher credential assessment during an academic year, the program shall collect and publish information with respect to an average pass rate and scaled score on each state credential assessment taken over a three-year period. (§205(a)(1)(B))

Please note that this page does not have an edit feature as the pass rates have already been through several rounds of verification. If you identify an error, please contact RTI's Title II Support Center and your testing company representative.

Key terms in this section are listed below. Click on the link to view the definition(s) in the glossary.

- Pass rate
- Scaled score
- Teacher credential assessment

THIS PAGE INCLUDES:

>> Assessment Pass Rates

Assessment Pass Rates

Assessment code - Assessment name Test Company Group	Number taking tests	Avg. scaled score	Number passing tests	Pass rate (%)
160 -BIOLOGY CST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	1			
201 -EDUCATING ALL STUDENTS Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	1			
201 -EDUCATING ALL STUDENTS Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2018-19	1			
003.1 -ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS CST.1 Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2018-19	1			
TP003 -SECONDARY ENGLISH-LANGUAGE ARTS Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2018-19	1			

Summary Pass Rates

The pass rates table is populated from files provided by the testing company or state. The table provides information on the performance of the students in your teacher preparation program on each teacher credential assessment used by your state. In cases where a student has taken a given assessment more than once, the highest score on that test is used. In the case of a teacher preparation program with fewer than 10 scores reported on any single initial teacher credential assessment during an academic year, the program shall collect and publish information with respect to an average pass rate and scaled score on each state credential assessment taken over a three-year period. (§205(a)(1)(B))

Please note that this page does not have an edit feature as the pass rates have already been through several rounds of verification. If you identify an error, please contact RTI's Title II Support Center and your testing company representative.

Key terms in this section are listed below. Click on the link to view the definition(s) in the glossary.

- Pass rate
- Scaled score
- Teacher credential assessment

THIS PAGE INCLUDES:

>> Summary Pass Rates

Summary Pass Rates

Group	Number taking tests	Number passing tests	Pass rate (%)
All program completers, 2018-19	1		
All program completers, combined 3 academic years	1		

SECTION IV: LOW-PERFORMING

Low-Performing

Provide the following information about the approval or accreditation of your teacher preparation program. (§205(a)(1)(D), §205(a)(1)(E))

Note: This section is preloaded from the prior year's IPRC.

THIS PAGE INCLUDES:	
>> <u>Low-Performing</u>	

Low-Performing

1. 1	is your teacher preparation program currently approved or accredited?
	Yes
	No No
ı	If yes, please specify the organization(s) that approved or accredited your program:
	▼ State
	CAEP
	AAQEP
	Other specify:
	Middle States/Accredited by TEAC, now member of AAQEP

2. Is your teacher preparation program currently under a designation as "low-performing" by the state?

Yes

No

SECTION V: USE OF TECHNOLOGY

Use of Technology

On this page, review the questions regarding your program's use of technology, and update as needed.

Note: This section is preloaded from the prior year's IPRC.

THIS PAGE INCLUDES:

>> Use of Technology

Use of Technology

1. Provide the following information about the use of technology in your teacher preparation program. Please note that choosing 'yes' indicates that your teacher preparation program would be able to provide evidence upon request. (§205(a)(1)(F))

Does your program prepare teachers to:

- a. integrate technology effectively into curricula and instruction
 - Yes
- b. use technology effectively to collect data to improve teaching and learning
 - Yes
 - No
- c. use technology effectively to manage data to improve teaching and learning
 - Yes
 - No
- d. use technology effectively to analyze data to improve teaching and learning
 - Yes
 - No
- 2. Provide a description of the evidence that your program uses to show that it prepares teachers to integrate technology effectively into curricula and instruction, and to use technology effectively to collect, manage, and analyze data in order to improve teaching and learning for the purpose of increasing student academic achievement. Include a description of the evidence your program uses to show that it prepares teachers to use the principles of universal design for learning, as applicable. Include planning activities and a timeline if any of the four elements listed above are not currently in place.

Department of Teaching, Learning and Technology (TLT): The TLT department prepares teachers to integrate technology effectively into curricula and instruction in a variety of ways. Faculty model the use of various types of technology in the classroom during different courses including the use of such methods as Smart Board or Podcasting. Students then have the opportunity to use the technology in activities and presentations in the classroom. Students do demonstration lessons using a variety of technologies. For example, students will learn how to design memes and brief videos advertising political campaigns from the past using a variety of technologies and programs. For presentations they must use a form of technology like Power Point to make their presentation to their peers. Faculty use the National Library of Virtual Images to make concepts come alive. The principles of universal design are included in all of our classes. Our child development courses focus on the development of the child as an individual and the need to interact with and create the appropriate environment for the student as an individual. In method courses faculty have students create lessons that include differentiated instruction. The goal for these lessons is to meet the needs of the individual learner. These lessons will have a variety of tasks that students can choose from that will demonstrate what they have learned. Along with creating a classroom environment that suits the learning styles of students, teachers include choice as an important aspect of their lesson design.

SECTION VI: TEACHER TRAINING

Teacher Training

Provide the following information about your teacher preparation program.

(§205(a)(1)(G))

Note: This section is preloaded from the prior year's IPRC.

HIS PAGE INCLUDES	AGF INCLU	IDFS
-------------------	-----------	-------------

>> Teacher Training

Teacher Training

- 1. Provide a description of the activities that prepare general education teachers to:
 - a. Teach students with disabilities effectively

The primary goal of our program is to provide a comprehensive educational program for all students. This requires careful consideration because we want to design effective curriculum that helps to avoid classifying a child. Our goal is to ensure that all students have effective instruction. Therefore, Response to Intervention (RTI) is examined in our instructional program. This model moves from remediation to intervention. We want our students to understand how a child is responding to strategies and instruction and when intervention is needed. Our program helps teachers recognize what techniques can be used to support the struggling learner. The goal is always assessment to provide appropriate instruction.

b. Participate as a member of individualized education program teams, as defined in section 614(d)(1)(B) of the *Individuals with Disabilities Education Act*.

Our goal is to ensure that all students have effective instruction. Therefore, Response to Intervention (RTI) is examined in our instructional program. This model moves from remediation to intervention. We want our students to understand how a child is responding to strategies and instruction and when intervention is needed. Our program helps teachers recognize what techniques can be used to support the struggling learner. The goal is always assessment to provide appropriate instruction.

c. Effectively teach students who are limited English proficient.

Our program provides for teaching students with limited English leaners through the use of differentiated instruction. Differentiated instruction in our program refers to differentiating the content, process, and/or product. This is achieved by assessment of students and the use of flexible grouping which reflects students' readiness, interest and learning profile. In addition, centers are used to further facilitate differentiated activities for all students. We have a graduate program devoted to supporting student ELL learners. Additionally, our curriculum is designed to support all students' cultural differences. Our literature is multicultural. The point of view cuts across all subject areas, and addresses the histories and experiences of people who have been left out established (or traditional) curricula. Its purpose is to help us deal equitably with all students, regardless of their cultural and racial backgrounds that reflect the entirety of human experience. This perspective helps us more deeply understand the nature of power relationships and persistent inequalities both within K-12 education and the larger society.

2. Does your program prepare special education teachers?

Yes

No

If yes, provide a description of the activities that prepare special education teachers to:

a. Teach students with disabilities effectively

b. Participate as a member of individualized education progression <i>Education Act.</i>	ram teams, as defined in section 614(d)(1)(B) of the <i>Individuals with Disabiliti</i> es
c. Effectively teach students who are limited English profici	ent.

Contextual Information

On this page, review the contextual information about your program, and update as needed.

Note: This section is preloaded from the prior year's IPRC.

П	HIS	PA	GE	INCI	Ш	DES

>> Contextual Information

Contextual Information

Please use this space to provide any additional information that describes your teacher preparation program(s). You may also attach information to this report card (see below). The U.S. Department of Education is especially interested in any evaluation plans or interim or final reports that may be available.

(1) The teacher education and educational leadership programs of the School of Education are accredited under the Teacher Education Accreditation Council (TEAC) Quality Principles through the CAEP Accreditation System, for a period of seven years, from October 28, 2014 to October 28, 2021. In spring 2019 the School of Education (SOE) switched accrediting agencies and is now a member of the Association for Advancing Quality in Educator Preparation (AAQEP). Due to this change, the next site visit for the SOE will be in the fall of 2024. Hofstra University is currently pursuing accreditation of its educator preparation programs by AAQEP. Pursuant to §52.21 of the Regulations of the Commissioner of Education, the educator preparation programs offered by Hofstra University will be continuously accredited for purposes of meeting the New York State requirement that all such programs maintain continuous accreditation. (2) November 20, 2014, the Middle States Commission on Higher Education accepted our Periodic Review Report (PRR), reaffirmed Hofstra's accreditation, and commended the University on the quality of the Periodic Review Report and process. In the Report to the Faculty, Administration, Trustees, Students of Hofstra University, dated 7/29/2014, the PRR review team indicated: "This Periodic Review Report is exceptional, but even more importantly, presents a University that is exemplary in its compliance with the Standards of Excellence. In particular, the reviewers commend Hofstra's accomplishments in assessment; given that assessment is the most common source of recommendations and follow-up obligations for Universities under review, we suggest that Middle States officially recognize Hofstra's assessment program as exemplary for the benefit of other institutions seeking to calibrate their assessment activity." Further, specifically regarding the University's assessment processes and results: "Hofstra's assessment operation demonstrates both breadth and depth. It boasts two cooperating offices to manage assessment, an Office of Accreditation and Outcomes Assessment and an Office of Institutional Research and Assessment, but also shows that an assessment sensibility pervades all academic units and administrative functions. Extended examples include an assessment in Oral Communication that started with a curricular map, proceeded to a resolution by faculty to enhance the range of courses exposing students to oral communication, and a validating follow-up assessment. Additional examples in Chemistry, Psychology, Languages, Writing, Information Literacy, and other areas included strong assessment programs in multiple areas that represent frequent challenges, and a variety of methodologies, from curricular maps to the CLA to embedded questions to national disciplinary tests." The reviewers found both the report and the culture of assessment being reported on to be genuinely exemplary, and we commend Hofstra for its excellence in this challenging area. Given the high percentage of accredited colleges that receive recommendations about assessment, we believe that Middle States should consider identifying colleges that have an assessment program worth modeling. Hofstra's program would be an important selection for such identification."

Supporting Files

No files have been provided.

You may upload files to be included with your report card. You should only upload PDF or Microsoft Word or Excel files. These files will be listed as links in your report card. Upload files in the order that you'd like them to appear.

Report Card Certification

Please make sure your entire report card is complete and accurate before completing this section. Once your report card is certified you will not be able to edit your data.

Certification of submission

I certify that, to the best of my knowledge, the information in this report is accurate and complete and conforms to the definitions and instructions used in the Higher Education Opportunity Act, Title II: Reporting Reference and User Manual.

NAME OF RESPONSIBLE REPRESENTATIVE FOR TEACHER PREPARATION PROGRAM:

Daniel Seabold

TITLE:

Acting Dean

Certification of review of submission

I certify that, to the best of my knowledge, the information in this report is accurate and complete and conforms to the definitions and instructions used in the
in Higher Education Opportunity Act, Title II: Reporting Reference and User Manual.

NAME OF REVIEWER:

Stacy Zalewski

TITLE:

Senior Associate Dean